# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**BRENDA D LONG** 

Claimant

**APPEAL NO. 12A-UI-08047-LT** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

STEENA CO LLC

Employer

OC: 06/03/12

Claimant: Respondent (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) - Voluntary Quitting

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed an appeal from the June 26, 2012 (reference 01) decision that allowed benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call on July 30, 2012. Claimant participated. Employer participated through kitchen department manager Heather McKey and area supervisor Jean Bambrook.

#### ISSUE:

Did claimant voluntarily leave the employment with good cause attributable to employer?

## **FINDINGS OF FACT:**

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed full-time as a shift manager for 14 years and was separated from employment on June 6, 2012. She quit after shift manager McKey chastised her for asking a question again when she did not hear McKey answer. On May 30 she called in sick and McKey called to say she needed to come in to work to find a replacement. Claimant reported 20 minutes later vomiting and told McKey she would try to find a replacement but if she could not she would leave since she did not want to be in a food service environment while vomiting. She had signed a policy earlier in the employment that she should not report to work if ill with fever or vomiting.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant voluntarily left the employment with good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.26(4) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(4) The claimant left due to intolerable or detrimental working conditions.

A notice of an intent to quit had been required by *Cobb v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 506 N.W.2d 445, 447-78 (lowa 1993), *Suluki v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 503 N.W.2d 402, 405 (lowa 1993), and *Swanson v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 554 N.W.2d 294, 296 (lowa Ct. App. 1996). Those cases required an employee to give an employer notice of intent to quit, thus giving the employer an opportunity to cure working conditions. However, in 1995, the lowa Administrative Code was amended to include an intent-to-quit requirement. The requirement was only added to rule 871-24.26(6)(b), the provision addressing work-related health problems. No intent-to-quit requirement was added to rule 871-24.26(4), the intolerable working conditions provision. Our supreme court recently concluded that, because the intent-to-quit requirement was added to 871 IAC 24.26(6)(b) but not 871-24.26(4), notice of intent to quit is not required for intolerable working conditions. *Hy-Vee, Inc. v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 710 N.W.2d 1 (lowa 2005).

Where claimant was required to work in two separate positions and received contradictory instructions from two different supervisors and quit after being reprimanded for his job performance was entitled to benefits. *McCunn v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 451 N.W.2d 510 (lowa Ct. App. 1989).

The contradictory instructions about reporting to work while vomiting and requirement to report to the premises to find a replacement while ill, and being chastised for asking a reasonable question created an intolerable work environment for claimant that gave rise to a good cause reason for leaving the employment. Benefits are allowed.

### **DECISION:**

dml/pjs

The June 26, 2012 (reference 01) decision is affirmed. Claimant voluntarily left the employment with good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are allowed, provided she is otherwise eligible.

Dévon M. Lewis Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	