# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

LINDA LINK Claimant **APPEAL NO. 14A-UI-07968-BT** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

IOWA WORKFORCE
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

OC: 06/29/14

Claimant: Appellant (2)

Iowa Code § 96.4-3 - Able and Available for Work

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Linda Link (claimant) appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated July 28, 2014, (reference 01), which held that she was not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits for the one-week period ending July 5, 2014 because she indicated she was not able to take a job that week. After a hearing notice was mailed to the party's last-known address of record, a telephone hearing was held on September 4, 2014. The claimant participated in the hearing.

### **ISSUE:**

The issue is whether the claimant is disqualified for being unavailable or unable to work.

# **FINDINGS OF FACT:**

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant filed a claim for unemployment insurance benefits as of June 29, 2014. When she called in her claim for the week ending July 5, 2014, she mistakenly reported she was not available for work when she actually was available for work that week.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

The issue in this case is whether the claimant is able and available for work. For an individual to be eligible to receive benefits, she must be able to work, available to work, and earnestly and actively seeking work. Iowa Code §96.4-3; 871 IAC 24.22(2). The claimant has the burden of proof in establishing his ability and availability for work. *Davoren v. Iowa Employment Security Commission*, 277 N.W.2d 602 (Iowa 1979).

The claimant made a mistake when calling in her claim for the week ending July 5, 2014. She has established that she was able and available for work that week. Benefits are allowed.

Page 2 Appeal No. 14A-UI-07968-BT

# **DECISION:**

The unemployment insurance	e decision	dated July 28,	2014,	(reference 01), is reversed.	The
claimant meets the availability	y requirem	ents of the law	and bei	nefits are allowed.	

Susan D. Ackerman Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

sda/pjs