IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

TOBY J BRIGHT

Claimant

APPEAL 17A-UI-01522-DG-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

AAA CONCRETE

Employer

OC: 01/08/17

Claimant: Appellant (4)

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Ability to and Availability for Work Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(1) - Able to Work - illness, injury or pregnancy Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(35) - Availability Disqualifications

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated February 2, 2017, (reference 03) that held claimant not able to and available for work. After due notice, a hearing was scheduled for and held on March 3, 2017. Claimant participated personally. Employer participated by Jason Gettler, Co-owner. Employer's Exhibits 1 through 4 and claimant's Exhibits A and B were admitted into evidence.

ISSUE:

The issue in this matter is whether claimant is able and available for work?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: Claimant received an injury away from work in the fall of 2016. Claimant's hand was hurting, and his physician indicated that he would need surgery. Claimant informed his employer that he would not be able to work after November 3, 2016 until after he had his surgery.

Claimant was still having issues with his hand hurting and being infected through November 20, 2016. Employer discharged claimant from the employment on November 9, 2016 for violating its attendance policy. Claimant was unable to work at that time.

On or about January 8, 2017 claimant filed for unemployment. He was able to perform work-related tasks that required using one hand at that time. Claimant does not have sedentary or indoor office work experience in his employment history. Claimant did receive a release back to work without any restrictions for the week beginning February 5, 2017.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes that the claimant was not able to work and available for work effective January 8, 2017 through February 4, 2016. Claimant is able to work and available work beginning on February 5, 2017.

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph (1), or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(1)a provides:

Benefits eligibility conditions. For an individual to be eligible to receive benefits the department must find that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work. The individual bears the burden of establishing that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work.

- (1) Able to work. An individual must be physically and mentally able to work in some gainful employment, not necessarily in the individual's customary occupation, but which is engaged in by others as a means of livelihood.
- a. Illness, injury or pregnancy. Each case is decided upon an individual basis, recognizing that various work opportunities present different physical requirements. A statement from a medical practitioner is considered prima facie evidence of the physical ability of the individual to perform the work required. A pregnant individual must meet the same criteria for determining ableness as do all other individuals.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(35) provides:

Availability disqualifications. The following are reasons for a claimant being disqualified for being unavailable for work.

(35) Where the claimant is not able to work and is under the care of a physician and has not been released as being able to work.

To be able to work, "[a]n individual must be physically and mentally able to work in some gainful employment, not necessarily in the individual's customary occupation, but which is engaged in by others as a means of livelihood." *Sierra v. Employment Appeal Board*, 508 N.W.2d 719, 721 (Iowa 1993); *Geiken v. Lutheran Home for the Aged*, 468 N.W.2d 223 (Iowa 1991); Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(1). "An evaluation of an individual's ability to work for the purposes of determining that individual's eligibility for unemployment benefits must necessarily take into consideration the economic and legal forces at work in the general labor market in which the

individual resides." Sierra at 723. The court in Gilmore v. Empl. Appeal Bd., 695 N.W.2d 44 (lowa Ct. App. 2004) noted that "[i]nsofar as the Employment Security Law is not designed to provide health and disability insurance, only those employees who experience illness-induced separations that can fairly be attributed to the employer are properly eligible for unemployment benefits." White v. Emp't Appeal Bd., 487 N.W.2d 342, 345 (lowa 1992) (citing Butts v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 328 N.W.2d 515, 517 (lowa 1983)).

Subsection d of Iowa Code § 96.5(1) provides an exception where:

The individual left employment because of illness, injury or pregnancy upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician, and upon knowledge of the necessity for absence immediately notified the employer, or the employer consented to the absence, and after recovering from the illness, injury or pregnancy, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the individual returned to the employer and offered to perform services and ... the individual's regular work or comparable suitable work was not available, if so found by the department, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

The statute specifically requires that the employee has recovered from the illness or injury, and this recovery has been certified by a physician. The exception in section 96.5(1)(d) only applies when an employee is *fully* recovered and the employer has not held open the employee's position. *White*, 487 N.W.2d at 346; *Hedges v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 368 N.W.2d 862, 867 (Iowa Ct. App. 1985); see also *Geiken v. Lutheran Home for the Aged Ass'n.*, 468 N.W.2d 223, 226 (Iowa 1991) (noting the full recovery standard of section 96.5(1)(d)).

The Court found no separation from employment and allowed partial benefits where claimant's work aggravated chronic lung disease prevented him from full-duty work but he reported daily for assignments as available. *FDL Foods v. Emp't Appeal Bd. and Lambers*, 460 N.W.2d 885 (lowa Ct. App. 1990).

The Supreme Court ruled that a claimant with a non-work related injury was not able to and available for work and that section 96.5(1)d was not applicable when she returned to work with a restricted release, could not perform her prior job and could not establish any other type of work of which she was capable. *Geiken v. Luthern Home for the Aged*, 468 N.W.2d 223 (lowa 1991).

Inasmuch as the medical condition was not work-related and the treating physician had not released the claimant to return to work with or without restriction until February 5, 2017, he has not established his ability to work effective January 8, 2017 through the week ending February 4, 2017.

Since the employment ended on November 9, 2016, claimant is no longer obligated to return to employer upon his medical release to offer his services. At that point, his ability to work is not measured by the job he held most recently, but by standards of his education, training, and work history.

Since he has not performed sedentary jobs within the work history, he was not considered able to work as of the date of discharge until he was released without restrictions for the week beginning February 5, 2017. Thus the claimant is considered able to and available for work as of February 5, 2017.

Claimant is on notice that he must conduct at least two work searches per week and file weekly claims in order to retain eligibility for benefits.

DECISION:

The February 2, 2017, (reference 03) unemployment insurance decision is modified in favor of the appellant. The claimant is not able to work and available for work effective January 8, 2017 through February 4, 2017. Benefits are not allowed during those dates. Benefits are allowed, provided he is otherwise eligible beginning on February 5, 2017 after he was released back to work without any restrictions by his physician.

Duana L. Goldon

Duane L. Golden Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

dlg/rvs