IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

SHANE L EWING Claimant

APPEAL NO. 20A-UI-02205-B2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

GENERAL ELECTRIC CO Employer

OC: 01/26/20 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.6-2 – Timeliness of Appeal Iowa Code § 96.5-5 – Receipt of Pension

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed an appeal from the February 19, 2020, reference 02, decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on April 21, 2020. The claimant did participate.

ISSUES:

Whether the appeal is timely?

Whether the pension was properly deducted from the claimant's unemployment insurance benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: A decision was mailed to the claimant's last known address of record on February 19, 2020. Claimant did receive the decision. The decision contained a warning that an appeal must be postmarked or received by the Appeals Section by February 29, 2020. The appeal was not filed until March 12, 2020, which is after the date noticed on the disqualification decision. Claimant stated that confusion caused him to not file an appeal in a timely manner.

Claimant earned from General Electric a total of \$115.00 in the fourth quarter of 2018 and no further wages. Claimant receives an ongoing pension from General Electric in the amount of \$400 / week. Said pension amount from GE is currently subtracted from claimant's WBA of \$480.00 resulting in a weekly payout of \$80.00 / week.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part:

The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts

found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disqualification shall be imposed. . . . Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision.

The ten calendar days for appeal begin running on the mailing date. The "decision date" found in the upper right-hand portion of the representative's decision, unless otherwise corrected immediately below that entry, is presumptive evidence of the date of mailing. *Gaskins v. Unempl. Comp. Bd. of Rev.*, 429 A.2d 138 (Pa. Comm. 1981); *Johnson v. Board of Adjustment*, 239 N.W.2d 873, 92 A.L.R.3d 304 (Iowa 1976).

Pursuant to rules Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-26.2(96)(1) and Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(96)(1), appeals are considered filed when postmarked, if mailed. *Messina v. IDJS*, 341 N.W.2d 52 (Iowa 1983).

The record in this case shows that more than ten calendar days elapsed between the mailing date and the date this appeal was filed. The lowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. *Franklin v. IDJS*, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (Iowa 1979); see also *In re Appeal of Elliott*, 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (Iowa 1982). The question in this case thus becomes whether the appellant was deprived of a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal in a timely fashion. *Hendren v. IESC*, 217 N.W.2d 255 (Iowa 1974); *Smith v. IESC*, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (Iowa 1973). The record shows that the appellant did have a reasonable opportunity to file a timely appeal.

The administrative law judge concludes that failure to file a timely appeal within the time prescribed by the Iowa Employment Security Law was not due to any Agency error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States Postal Service pursuant to Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2). The administrative law judge further concludes that the appeal was not timely filed pursuant to Iowa Code Section 96.6-2, and the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction to make a determination with respect to the nature of the appeal. See, *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979) and *Franklin v. IDJS*, 277 N.W.2d 877 (Iowa 1979).

DECISION:

The February 19, 2020, reference 02, decision is affirmed. The appeal in this case was not timely, and the decision of the representative remains in effect.

The administrative law judge does note that claimant earned from General Electric a total of \$115.00 in the fourth quarter of 2018 and no further wages. Claimant receives an ongoing pension from General Electric in the amount of \$400.00 / week. Said pension amount from GE is currently subtracted from claimant's WBA of \$480.00 resulting in a weekly payout of \$80.00 / week.

12 5

Blair A. Bennett Administrative Law Judge

April 23, 2020 Decision Dated and Mailed

bab/scn