IN THE IOWA ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

AMY A HAMNER

Claimant

APPEAL 24A-UI-03966-LJ-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

CATHOLIC HEALTH INITIATIVES - IOWA

Employer

OC: 03/17/24

Claimant: Respondent (2)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quit from Employment Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge from Employment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On April 19, 2024, employer Catholic Health Initiatives—lowa filed an appeal from the April 10, 2024 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that allowed benefits after a determination that claimant was discharged from employment for no disqualifying reason. The Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau mailed notice of the hearing on May 3, 2024. Administrative Law Judge Elizabeth A. Johnson held a telephonic hearing at 10:00 a.m. on Monday, May 20, 2024. Claimant Amy A. Hamner personally participated. Employer Catholic Health Initiatives—lowa participated through witnesses Helen Smith, Colleague Relations Partner; and Lamar Hudson, Program Manager of MercyOne Ambulance and Dispatch Center; and Rachelle McKinney represented the employer. Claimant's Exhibit A and Employer's Exhibits 1 through 15 were received and admitted into the record without objection. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative record.

ISSUE:

Did the claimant quit the employment without good cause attributable to the employer or was she discharged for reasons related to job misconduct sufficient to warrant a denial of unemployment benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant began employment with Catholic Health Initiatives on August 16, 2022. She worked full-time hours as an EMT in Centerville. Claimant last reported to work on March 22, 2024. Her employment ended that day when she told her manager Lamar Hudson that she quit.

On March 22, Hudson and claimant had a telephone call during which Hudson issued claimant a final warning for attendance issues. (Exhibit 6 and 7) Claimant was upset, as she felt all of her absences should be excused. She then told Hudson that she had come to work that day with pinkeye and should not even be at work. Claimant told Hudson that she needed to leave work and she was quitting. After that conversation, Hudson processed claimant's separation in the WorkDay system. (Exhibit A) He also sent Smith an email documenting his conversation with claimant. (Exhibit 1)

The employer has a points-based attendance discipline policy. (Exhibits 10-14) Under this policy, an absence is "excused" if an employee requests the day off at least one day prior and finds coverage for their shift. Hudson applies the attendance policy more leniently than it is written when an employee calls in due to illness on consecutive work days. Under that circumstance, Hudson only imposes attendance points for the first day of the consecutive days of the absence, not for all of the days the employee is absent.

Claimant opened the claim for unemployment insurance benefits effective March 17, 2024. She has filed no weekly continued claims for benefits since opening her claim. Iowa Workforce Development held a fact-finding interview on April 8, 2024.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant was not discharged from employment, but she quit her employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits must be withheld.

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

Iowa Code §96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

lowa unemployment insurance law disqualifies claimants who voluntarily quit employment without good cause attributable to the employer or who are discharged for work-connected misconduct. Iowa Code §§ 96.5(1) and 96.5(2)a. The burden of proof rests with the employer to show that the claimant voluntarily left the employment. *Irving v. Empl. App. Bd.*, 15-0104, 2016 WL 3125854, (Iowa June 3, 2016). A voluntary quitting of employment requires that an employee exercise a voluntary choice between remaining employed or terminating the employment relationship. *Wills v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 447 N.W.2d 137, 138 (Iowa 1989); *Peck v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 492 N.W.2d 438, 440 (Iowa Ct. App. 1992). It requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. *Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer*, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980). Generally, when an individual mistakenly believes they are discharged from employment, but was not told so by the employer, and they discontinue reporting for work, the separation is considered a quit without good cause attributable to the employer. *LaGrange v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, (No. 4-209/83-1081, Iowa Ct. App. filed June 26, 1984).

It is the duty of the administrative law judge as the trier of fact in this case, to determine the credibility of witnesses, weigh the evidence and decide the facts in issue. *Arndt v. City of LeClaire*, 728 N.W.2d 389, 394-395 (Iowa 2007). The Iowa Supreme Court has ruled that if a party has the power to produce more explicit and direct evidence than it chooses to present, the administrative law judge may infer that evidence not presented would reveal deficiencies in the party's case. *Crosser v. Iowa Dep't of Pub. Safety*, 240 N.W.2d 682 (Iowa 1976). The administrative law judge may believe all, part or none of any witness's testimony. *State v. Holtz*, 548 N.W.2d 162, 163 (Iowa App. 1996). In assessing the credibility of witnesses, the administrative law judge should consider the evidence using his or her own observations, common sense and experience. *Id.*. In determining the facts, and deciding what testimony to believe, the fact finder may consider the following factors: whether the testimony is reasonable and consistent with other believable evidence; whether a witness has made inconsistent statements; the witness's appearance, conduct, age, intelligence, memory and knowledge of the facts; and the witness's interest in the trial, their motive, candor, bias and prejudice. *Id*.

The findings of fact show how I have resolved the disputed factual issues in this case. I assessed the credibility of the witnesses who testified during the hearing, considering the applicable factors listed above, and using my own common sense and experience. I find the employer's testimony and evidence more credible than claimant's testimony. Hudson's description of the conversation he had with claimant on March 22 is reasonable and consistent with the email he sent Smith and others immediately after the conversation. Claimant's version of events became exaggerated as her testimony developed, causing me to doubt its truthfulness. Additionally, even if Hudson confirmed that claimant would be discharged if she missed so many additional days of work, that statement is not the same as him saying to claimant, "You are fired." Claimant had not yet missed those additional days of work. Those future absences were speculative and may have never occurred if claimant remained at work. The parties will never know, because claimant chose to quit.

Where a claimant gives numerous reasons for leaving employment the agency is required to consider all stated reasons which might combine to give the claimant good cause to quit in determining any of those reasons constitute good cause attributable to the employer. *Taylor v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 362 N.W.2d 534 (lowa 1985).

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(28) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(28) The claimant left after being reprimanded.

Claimant's statement to Hudson is evidence of her intention to sever the employment relationship and the overt act of carrying out that intention. Claimant voluntarily quit her employment. The credible testimony shows claimant guit in direct response to receiving a final

warning for her attendance. There is no evidence in the record showing claimant had any good-cause reason to quit her employment that is attributable to the employer.

Claimant has not met her burden of proving that she voluntarily quit employment for good cause attributable to Catholic Health Initiatives. Benefits must be denied.

Because claimant has not received any benefits since separating from employment, the issues of overpayment and chargeability are moot.

DECISION:

The April 10, 2024 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is reversed. Claimant quit the employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as the claimant has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible.

The issues of overpayment and chargeability are moot.

Elizabeth A. Johnson Administrative Law Judge

May 23, 2024
Decision Dated and Mailed

lj/scn

APPEAL RIGHTS. If you disagree with the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

Iowa Employment Appeal Board 6200 Park Avenue Suite 100 Des Moines, Iowa 50321 Fax: (515)281-7191 Online: eab.iowa.gov

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

- 1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.
- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at lowa Code §17A.19, which is online at https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Note to Parties: YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

Note to Claimant: It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

SERVICE INFORMATION:

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN. Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

Iowa Employment Appeal Board 6200 Park Avenue Suite 100 Des Moines, Iowa 50321 Fax: (515)281-7191 En línea: eab.iowa.gov

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

- 1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.
- 2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
- 3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.
- 4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de Iowa §17A.19, que se encuentra en línea en https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf o comunicándose con el Tribunal de Distrito Secretario del tribunal https:///www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Nota para las partes: USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

Nota para el reclamante: es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.