IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

CHAR BOS Claimant

APPEAL NO. 07A-UI-06465-H2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

AMERICOLD LOGISTICS LLC

Employer

OC: 06-03-07 R: 04 Claimant: Respondent (2)

Section 96.5-2-a – Discharge/Misconduct 871 IAC 24.32(7) – Excessive Unexcused Absenteeism Iowa Code § 96.3(7) - Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the June 15, 2007, reference 01, decision that allowed benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on July 16, 2007. The claimant did participate along with her witnesses Doug Linhart. The employer did participate through (representative) Lori Walker, Office Manager and Terry VanHoutan, Supervisor.

ISSUE:

Was the claimant discharged for work-related misconduct?

Has the claimant been overpaid any unemployment insurance benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the testimony and all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed as a housekeeper/cleaner part time beginning March 6, 2007 through May 24, 2007 when she was discharged.

On May 23, 2007 the claimant overslept because she had been to a birthday party. She woke up at approximately 10:30 p.m. when her shift was to begin and called the employer to let them know she would be late to work. The claimant then fell back asleep and did not show up for work or call the employer again. She showed up for work again on May 24, 2007 and was told she was discharged. By the claimant's own admission she had missed 4 days of work prior to this incident. Two when she was arrested on her way to work and one when she had previously overslept and one when she had car trouble. The employer alleges the claimant missed five days of work in her less than 12 weeks of employment. The claimant admitted that her supervisor had warned her about her attendance and that she knew what the employer's attendance policy was from her orientation training. The claimant also had other instances of tardiness. Claimant has received unemployment benefits since filing a claim with an effective date of June 3, 2007.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment due to job-related misconduct.

Iowa Code § 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(7) provides:

(7) Excessive unexcused absenteeism. Excessive unexcused absenteeism is an intentional disregard of the duty owed by the claimant to the employer and shall be considered misconduct except for illness or other reasonable grounds for which the employee was absent and that were properly reported to the employer.

The determination of whether unexcused absenteeism is excessive necessarily requires consideration of past acts and warnings. The term "absenteeism" also encompasses conduct that is more accurately referred to as "tardiness." An absence is an extended tardiness, and an incident of tardiness is a limited absence. Absences related to issues of personal responsibility such as transportation, lack of childcare, and oversleeping are not considered excused. Higgins v. Iowa Department of Job Service, 350 N.W.2d 187 (Iowa 1984).

The employer has established that the claimant was warned that further unexcused absences could result in termination of employment and the final absence was not excused. The final absence, in combination with the claimant's history of absenteeism, is considered excessive. Benefits are withheld.

Iowa Code § 96.3-7 provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

Because claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to which claimant was not entitled. Those benefits must be recovered in accordance with the provisions of Iowa law.

DECISION:

The June 15, 2007, reference 01, decision is reversed. The claimant was discharged from employment due to excessive, unexcused absenteeism. Benefits are withheld until such time as she has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible. Claimant is overpaid benefits in the amount of \$1,735.00.

Teresa K. Hillary Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

tkh/pjs