# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

MARIA T RODRIGUEZ DEACOSTA

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 09A-UI-05096-SWT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

**SWIFT & COMPANY** 

Employer

Original Claim: 03/01/09 Claimant: Respondent (2-R)

Section 96.5-1 - Voluntary Quit Section 96.3-7 - Overpayment of Benefits

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated March 20, 2009, reference 01, that concluded the claimant's discharge was not for work-connected misconduct. A telephone hearing was held on April 28, 2009. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. The claimant participated in the hearing. Tony Luse participated in the hearing on behalf of the employer.

### ISSUE:

Did the claimant voluntarily quit employment without good cause attributable to the employer?

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

The claimant worked full time for the employer as a production worker from October 16, 1996, to February 2, 2009. The claimant was informed and understood that under the employer's work rules, employees were required to notify the employer if they were not able to work as scheduled and would be considered to have quit employment after three days of absence without notice to the employer. Under the employer's attendance policy, employees were subject to discharge or a 90-day attendance contract if they received ten attendance points.

The claimant had nine points as of February 2 due to some pregnancy-related medical problems. The claimant was scheduled to work on February 3, 4, and 5. She was ill and unable to work on February 3. She did not report to work or call the employer to notify the employer that she would not be at work. She assumed that she was going to be discharged, or if she had been put on the 90-day attendance contract, she would have been fired because she had future medical appointments to attend.

The claimant's assumption was unreasonable, because the employer would have placed her on a 90-day contract due to her many years of employment. When she was absent without notice on February 3, 4, 5, and 6, the employer considered her to have quit under its work rules.

The claimant filed for and received a total of \$3,000.00 in unemployment insurance benefits for the weeks between March 1 and April 25, 2009.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

The unemployment insurance law disqualifies claimants who voluntarily quit employment without good cause attributable to the employer or who are discharged for work-connected misconduct. Iowa Code § 96.5-1 and 96.5-2-a.

The claimant was never discharged. She stopped reporting to work because she mistakenly believed she was going to be fired because she had ten points. She did not check to see what would have happened if she had come back to work with a medical excuse.

The unemployment insurance rules state that a claimant absent for three days without giving notice to employer in violation of company rule is presumed to have quit employment without good cause attributable to the employer. 871 IAC 24.25(4). This rule applies to this case. The claimant is deemed to have voluntarily quit employment without good cause.

The unemployment insurance law requires benefits to be recovered from a claimant who receives benefits and is later determined to be ineligible for benefits, even though the claimant acted in good faith and was not otherwise at fault. However, the overpayment will not be recovered when it is based on a reversal on appeal of an initial determination to award benefits on an issue regarding the claimant's employment separation if: (1) the benefits were not received due to any fraud or willful misrepresentation by the claimant and (2) the employer did not participate in the initial proceeding to award benefits. The employer will not be charged for benefits whether or not the overpayment is recovered. Iowa Code § 96.3-7. In this case, the claimant has received benefits but was ineligible for those benefits. The matter of deciding the amount of the overpayment and whether the overpayment should be recovered under lowa Code § 96.3-7-b is remanded to the Agency.

#### **DECISION:**

saw/kjw

The unemployment insurance decision dated March 20, 2009, reference 01, is reversed. The claimant is disqualified from receiving unemployment insurance benefits until she has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible. The matter of deciding the amount of the overpayment and whether the overpayment should be recovered under Iowa Code § 96.3-7-b is remanded to the Agency.

Steven A. Wise Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	