#### IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

MARK D MORAN Claimant

## APPEAL NO. 10A-UI-02927-ST

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

# THE GATES CORPORATION

Employer

Original Claim: 12/07/08 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.5-3 – Business Closing Benefits Section 96.6-2 – Timeliness of Appeal

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant appealed a department decision dated February 9, 2010, reference 08, that held he was not eligible for business closing benefits effective December 7, 2008. A telephone hearing was scheduled for April 15, 2010. The claimant participated. Claimant Exhibit A was received as evidence.

#### **ISSUE:**

Whether the claimant filed a timely appeal.

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having considered the evidence in the record, finds: The claimant received the department decision that was issued February 9, 2010, but he waited to submit an appeal to the department until February 22. The appeal deadline was February 19. The Boone workforce center is open on Mondays and Thursdays.

The claimant was laid off by the Gates Corporation in January 2009, and the department certified the plant closing as November 20, 2009.

## REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 96.6-2 provides in pertinent part:

The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disqualification shall be imposed. . . . Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the

decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision.

The ten calendar days for appeal begins running on the mailing date. The "decision date" found in the upper right-hand portion of the representative's decision, unless otherwise corrected immediately below that entry, is presumptive evidence of the date of mailing. <u>Gaskins v.</u> <u>Unempl. Comp. Bd. of Rev.</u>, 429 A.2d 138 (Pa. Comm. 1981); <u>Johnson v. Board of Adjustment</u>, 239 N.W.2d 873, 92 A.L.R.3d 304 (Iowa 1976).

Pursuant to rules 871 IAC 26.2(96)(1) and 871 IAC 24.35(96)(1), appeals are considered filed when postmarked, if mailed. <u>Messina v. IDJS</u>, 341 N.W.2d 52 (Iowa 1983).

The record in this case shows that more than ten calendar days elapsed between the mailing date and the date this appeal was filed. The Iowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. <u>Franklin v. IDJS</u>, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. <u>Beardslee v. IDJS</u>, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (Iowa 1979); see also <u>In re Appeal of Elliott</u> 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (Iowa 1982). The question in this case thus becomes whether the appellant was deprived of a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal in a timely fashion. <u>Hendren v. IESC</u>, 217 N.W.2d 255 (Iowa 1974); <u>Smith v. IESC</u>, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (Iowa 1973). The record shows that the appellant did have a reasonable opportunity to file a timely appeal.

The administrative law judge concludes the claimant failed to file a timely appeal.

The claimant did have a reasonable opportunity to file a more timely appeal by noting the deadline date and reading the appeal instructions. The claimant offered no good cause for the three days he delayed his appeal. The claimant could have submitted a letter of appeal or gone into his local office before the deadline date.

## DECISION:

The unemployment insurance decision dated February 9, 2010, reference 08, is affirmed. The claimant failed to file a timely appeal, and the department decision remains in force and effect. The claimant is ineligible for business closing benefits effective December 7, 2008.

Randy L. Stephenson Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

rls/kjw