

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section
1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319
DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

JOHN F CASALI
11602 – 230TH ST
WESTSIDE IA 51467

WAL-MART STORES INC
C/O FRICK UC EXPRESS
PO BOX 283
ST LOUIS MO 63166-0283

Appeal Number: 05A-UI-07258-LT
OC: 06-19-05 R: 01
Claimant: Respondent (1)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the **Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319**.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Iowa Code §96.5(1)d – Voluntary Leaving/Illness or Injury

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Employer filed a timely appeal from the July 8, 2005, reference 01, decision that allowed benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on August 2, 2005. Claimant did participate. Employer did participate through Joe Bergsmith.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed as a part-time receiving clerk and stocker through March 24, 2005, when he quit. Tracey Kahl, D.O., wrote on March 24, 2005 that claimant has a degenerative disc disease and his current employment has caused it to flare up. She also recommended lifting restrictions and two weeks off from work while he finished his anti-inflammation medication. Claimant took

the document to Linda Knueven in human resources, and she said there were no jobs available that would accommodate the restrictions.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left his employment without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1-d provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:

d. The individual left employment because of illness, injury or pregnancy upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician, and upon knowledge of the necessity for absence immediately notified the employer, or the employer consented to the absence, and after recovering from the illness, injury or pregnancy, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the individual returned to the employer and offered to perform services and the individual's regular work or comparable suitable work was not available, if so found by the department, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.25(35) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving Iowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(35) The claimant left because of illness or injury which was not caused or aggravated by the employment or pregnancy and failed to:

- (a) Obtain the advice of a licensed and practicing physician;
- (b) Obtain certification of release for work from a licensed and practicing physician;
- (c) Return to the employer and offer services upon recovery and certification for work by a licensed and practicing physician; or
- (d) Fully recover so that the claimant could perform all of the duties of the job.

The claimant has not established that the injury was caused by his employment but did establish that his medical condition would be aggravated by his work duties, which are permanently prohibited by his medical restrictions.

While a claimant must generally return to offer services upon recovery, subparagraph (d) of Iowa Code §96.5(1) is not applicable where it is impossible to return to the former employment because of medical restrictions connected with the work. See, White v. EAB, 487 N.W.2d 342 (Iowa 1992). Where disability is caused or aggravated by the employment, a resultant separation is with good cause attributable to the employer. Shontz v. IESC, 248 N.W.2d 88 (Iowa 1976). Where illness or disease directly connected to the employment make it impossible for an individual to continue in employment because of serious danger to health, termination of employment for that reason is involuntary and for good cause attributable to the employer even if the employer is free from all negligence or wrongdoing. Raffety v. IESC, 76 N.W.2d 787 (Iowa 1956).

Because claimant's medical condition was aggravated by the working conditions associated with his job, claimant's separation from employment according to his physician's advice was with good cause attributable to the employer.

DECISION:

The July 8, 2005, reference 01, decision is affirmed. The claimant voluntarily left his employment with good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

dml/kjw