IN THE IOWA ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

PETER D SCHWAB Claimant

APPEAL 25A-UI-00167-DB-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

CARE INITIATIVES Employer

> OC: 11/24/24 Claimant: Respondent (1)

lowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct lowa Code § 96.3(7) – Overpayment of Benefits lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 – Employer Participation in Fact finding Interview

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer/appellant filed an appeal from the December 31, 2024 (reference 03) unemployment insurance decision that allowed benefits to the claimant based upon a discharge from work. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on March 18, 2025. The claimant participated personally. Witness Jeanne Schwab testified on behalf of the claimant. The employer was represented by Barbara Hamilton and participated through witnesses Lisa Durnell and Leah Muhlbauer. Employer's Exhibits 1-12 were admitted. The administrative law judge took administrative notice of the claimant's unemployment insurance benefits records.

ISSUES:

Was the claimant's separation from employment disqualifying?

Was the claimant overpaid benefits?

Should the claimant repay benefits due to the employer's participation in the fact-finding interview?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant began working for this employer on September 23, 2020 as a full-time cook at the employer's nursing home. His immediate supervisor was Dicey Christensen. His job duties included cooking for the residents and keeping the kitchen clean. The claimant was discharged from work on November 26, 2024 during an in person meeting with Ms. Muhlbauer and Dicey Christensen. His discharge stemmed from an allegation by Ms. Christensen that the claimant had not properly cleaned the robo coup machine in the kitchen during his shift on November 24, 2024. Ms. Muhlbauer did not investigate herself whether or not Ms. Christensen's allegations that the machine was not clean were actually true. Claimant had properly cleaned the robo coup machine during his shift on November 24, 2024.

Claimant filed an original claim for unemployment insurance benefits effective November 24, 2024. He was paid benefits of \$1,320.00 for the weeks between November 24, 2024 and December 14, 2024. The employer participated in the fact-finding interview in writing and provided rebuttal documentation when requested by lowa Workforce Development.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes as follows:

lowa Code section 96.5(2)a & d provide in pertinent part:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

a. The disqualification shall continue until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

d. For the purposes of this subsection, "misconduct" means a deliberate act or omission by an employee that constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of the employee's contract of employment. Misconduct is limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of the standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligation to the employer. Misconduct by an individual includes but is not limited to all of the following: ...

The employer has the burden of proof in establishing disqualifying job-related misconduct.¹ In unemployment insurance benefits cases, the issue is not whether the employer made a correct decision in separating the claimant, but whether the claimant is entitled to unemployment insurance benefits.² What constitutes misconduct justifying termination of an employee and what misconduct warrants denial of unemployment insurance benefits are two separate decisions.³ Misconduct serious enough to warrant discharge is not necessarily serious enough to warrant a denial of job insurance benefits.⁴ Such misconduct must be "substantial."⁵

lowa Admin. Code r.871-24.24(7) provides:

(8) *Past acts of misconduct*. While past acts and warnings can be used to determine the magnitude of a current act of misconduct, a discharge for misconduct cannot be based on such past act or acts. The termination of employment must be based on a current act.

¹ Cosper v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 321 N.W.2d 6 (lowa 1982).

² Infante v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 364 N.W.2d 262 (Iowa Ct. App. 1984).

³ Pierce v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 425 N.W.2d 679 (lowa Ct. App. 1988).

⁴ Newman v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 351 N.W.2d 806 (Iowa Ct. App. 1984).

The claimant's first-hand testimony that the robo coup was properly cleaned on November 24, 2024 is credible. Ms. Muhlbauer did not witness any food residue on the machine herself and relied upon the statements of Ms. Christensen, who did not testify in this hearing.

In this case, there is no evidence that the final incident of the claimant not properly cleaning the robo coup occurred. Without a final substantial incident of job-related misconduct, the discharge from employment is not disqualifying. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

Because benefits are allowed, the issues of whether the claimant is overpaid benefits and whether the employer's account may be relieved of charges are moot. The claimant is not overpaid and the employer's account may be subject to charges.

DECISION:

The December 31, 2024 (reference 03) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. Claimant was discharged from employment on November 26, 2024 for no disqualifying reason. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible. The employer's account may be subject to charges.

Dawn. Morucher

Dawn Boucher Administrative Law Judge

March 19, 2025 Decision Dated and Mailed

db/rvs

APPEAL RIGHTS. If you disagree with the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

Employment Appeal Board 6200 Park Avenue Suite 100 Des Moines, IA 50321 Fax: (515)281-7191 Online: <u>eab.iowa.gov</u>

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.

2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.

3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.

4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at lowa Code §17A.19, which is online at https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.

Note to Parties: YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

Note to Claimant: It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

SERVICE INFORMATION:

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN. Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

Employment Appeal Board 6200 Park Avenue Suite 100 Des Moines, IA 50321 Fax: (515)281-7191 En línea: <u>eab.iowa.gov</u>

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.

2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.

3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.

4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de lowa §17A.19, que se encuentra en línea en <u>https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf</u> o comunicándose con el Tribunal de Distrito Secretario del tribunal https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Nota para las partes: USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

Nota para el reclamante: es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.