

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section
1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319
DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

KATRIE K MONTGOMERY
501 TAYLOR ST
IDA GROVE IA 51445-1518

Appeal Number: 06A-UI-06025-CT
OC: 05/14/06 R: 01
Claimant: Appellant (1)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the **Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319**.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quit

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Katie Montgomery filed an appeal from a representative's decision dated June 2, 2006, reference 01. The Appeals Bureau also set up an appeal for the representative's decision dated June 2, 2006, reference 03.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The representative's decision bearing reference 03 is a summary decision. It was sent to apprise Ms. Montgomery of the net effect of several decisions she had received from Workforce Development. The decision bearing reference 03 was intended to resolve any confusion

created by multiple and conflicting decisions. The determination did not adjudicate a separation, only advise of the effect of various determinations.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The separation that resulted in the reference 03 decision has been adjudicated in Appeal 06A-UI-06024-CT. Inasmuch as reference 03 was only a clarifying decision, the administrative law judge need not address it further.

DECISION:

The representative's decision dated June 2, 2006, reference 03, is hereby affirmed. Ms. Montgomery remains disqualified from receiving benefits based on the decision in Appeal 06A-UI-06024-CT. Benefits are withheld until such time as she has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly job insurance benefit amount, provided she satisfies all other conditions of eligibility.

cfc/pjs