IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

ERIKA VALENCIA

Claimant

APPEAL 19A-UI-08241-DG-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

STAFF MANAGEMENT SOLUTIONS LLC

Employer

OC: 09/22/19

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Ability to and Availability for Work

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(1) - Able to Work - illness, injury or pregnancy

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(35) - Availability Disqualifications

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated October 21, 2019, (reference 01) that held claimant not able to and available for work. After due notice, a hearing was scheduled for and held on November 8, 2019. Claimant participated personally with the assistance of a Spanish Interpreter. Employer failed to respond to the hearing notice and did not participate.

ISSUE:

The issue in this matter is whether claimant is able and available for work?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: Claimant was not able to work without restrictions beginning on September 22, 2019.

Claimant began working for employer as a full-time laborer in 2006. Claimant became pregnant and she sought medical treatment in September, 2019. Claimant's physician provided claimant with work restrictions that limited the work-related tasks claimant could perform.

Employer reviewed claimant's doctor's note on or about September 19, 2019 and it determined that it could not accommodate claimant's work restrictions. Claimant was told that she could not return to work until she was released to work without restrictions.

Claimant was not released back to work without restrictions after that date. Employer did offer claimant another position at the plant that would allow claimant to continue working with her current work restrictions on October 15, 2019. Claimant has been working for employer since that date in her new position.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes that the claimant is not able to work and available for work effective September 22, 2019.

Iowa Code section 96.4(3) provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph (1), or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(1)a provides:

Benefits eligibility conditions. For an individual to be eligible to receive benefits the department must find that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work. The individual bears the burden of establishing that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work.

- (1) Able to work. An individual must be physically and mentally able to work in some gainful employment, not necessarily in the individual's customary occupation, but which is engaged in by others as a means of livelihood.
- a. Illness, injury or pregnancy. Each case is decided upon an individual basis, recognizing that various work opportunities present different physical requirements. A statement from a medical practitioner is considered prima facie evidence of the physical ability of the individual to perform the work required. A pregnant individual must meet the same criteria for determining ableness as do all other individuals.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(35) provides:

Availability disqualifications. The following are reasons for a claimant being disqualified for being unavailable for work.

(35) Where the claimant is not able to work and is under the care of a medical practitioner and has not been released as being able to work.

To be able to work, "[a]n individual must be physically and mentally able to work in some gainful employment, not necessarily in the individual's customary occupation, but which is engaged in by others as a means of livelihood." Sierra v. Employment Appeal Board, 508 N.W.2d 719, 721 (lowa 1993); Geiken v. Lutheran Home for the Aged, 468 N.W.2d 223 (lowa 1991); lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(1). "An evaluation of an individual's ability to work for the purposes of determining that individual's eligibility for unemployment benefits must necessarily take into consideration the economic and legal forces at work in the general labor market in which the individual resides." Sierra at 723. The court in Gilmore v. Empl. Appeal Bd., 695 N.W.2d 44 (lowa Ct. App. 2004) noted that "[i]nsofar as the Employment Security Law is not designed to provide health and disability insurance, only those employees who experience illness-induced separations that can fairly be attributed to the employer are properly eligible for unemployment benefits." White v. Emp't Appeal Bd., 487 N.W.2d 342, 345 (lowa 1992) (citing Butts v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 328 N.W.2d 515, 517 (lowa 1983)).

Subsection d of Iowa Code § 96.5(1) provides an exception where:

The individual left employment because of illness, injury or pregnancy upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician, and upon knowledge of the necessity for absence immediately notified the employer, or the employer consented to the absence, and after recovering from the illness, injury or pregnancy, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the individual returned to the employer and offered to perform services and ... the individual's regular work or comparable suitable work was not available, if so found by the department, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

The statute specifically requires that the employee has recovered from the illness or injury, and this recovery has been certified by a physician. The exception in section 96.5(1)(d) only applies when an employee is *fully* recovered and the employer has not held open the employee's position. *White*, 487 N.W.2d at 346; *Hedges v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 368 N.W.2d 862, 867 (lowa Ct. App. 1985); see also *Geiken v. Lutheran Home for the Aged Ass'n.*, 468 N.W.2d 223, 226 (lowa 1991) (noting the full recovery standard of section 96.5(1)(d)).

Inasmuch as the medical condition is not work-related and the treating physician has not released the claimant to return to work without restrictions, she was not able to and available for work. While she may be able to perform light work duties, the employer is not obligated to accommodate a non-work related medical condition. Claimant has not been released to perform her full work duties, and she is not considered able to or available for work for the purposes of unemployment insurance benefits even though she is currently working for this employer.

DECISION:

dlg/scn

The October 21, 2019, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. The claimant is not able to work and available for work effective September 22, 2019. Benefits are withheld until such time as the claimant obtains a full medical release to return to work, offers her services to the employer, and no suitable, comparable work is available considering reasonable accommodation; or if she is involuntarily separated before that time.

Duane L. Golden
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed