

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section
1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319
DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

Appeal Number: 05A-UI-08419-MT
OC: 07/17/05 R: 02
Claimant: Appellant (1)

NATASHA J FRANCIS
943 - 15TH PL NE
MASON CITY IA 50401

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the **Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.**

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

FRED HOIBERG'S CLARION
AUTO CENTER INC
1501 CENTRAL AVE E
CLARION IA 50525

STATE CLEARLY

1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.5-5 - Severance Pay

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant appealed a representative's decision dated August 11, 2005, reference 02, that concluded claimant was ineligible for the two weeks ending July 30, 2005 for unemployment insurance benefits. A telephone hearing was scheduled and held on August 31, 2005 pursuant to due notice. Claimant did participate. Employer participated by Dave Finke, Controller.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant's employment with employer was separated on July 15, 2005 and claimant received severance

pay in the amount of \$2,000.00 based upon a rate of pay at \$48,000.00 per year. Employer did designate the period of time to which the severance pay was to be applied. The paycheck placed the severance pay into a category called bonus. Employer paid the money as severance. There is no credible evidence showing that claimant deserved a bonus.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes that severance pay was deducted for the correct period. Credible evidence offered by employer indicates that the pay was severance and not bonus as shown on the paycheck. There is no evidence to show claimant earned a bonus. The offset for severance pay shall be allowed.

Iowa Code section 96.5-5 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

5. Other compensation. For any week with respect to which the individual is receiving or has received payment in the form of any of the following:

a. Wages in lieu of notice, separation allowance, severance pay, or dismissal pay.

b. Compensation for temporary disability under the workers' compensation law of any state or under a similar law of the United States.

c. A governmental or other pension, retirement or retired pay, annuity, or any other similar periodic payment made under a plan maintained or contributed to by a base period or chargeable employer where, except for benefits under the federal Social Security Act or the federal Railroad Retirement Act of 1974 or the corresponding provisions of prior law, the plan's eligibility requirements or benefit payments are affected by the base period employment or the remuneration for the base period employment. However, if an individual's benefits are reduced due to the receipt of a payment under this paragraph, the reduction shall be decreased by the same percentage as the percentage contribution of the individual to the plan under which the payment is made.

Provided, that if the remuneration is less than the benefits which would otherwise be due under this chapter, the individual is entitled to receive for the week, if otherwise eligible, benefits reduced by the amount of the remuneration. Provided further, if benefits were paid for any week under this chapter for a period when benefits, remuneration or compensation under paragraphs "a", "b", or "c", were paid on a retroactive basis for the same period, or any part thereof, the department shall recover the excess amount of benefits paid by the department for the period, and no employer's account shall be charged with benefits so paid. However, compensation for service-connected disabilities or compensation for accrued leave based on military service, by the beneficiary, with the armed forces of the United States, irrespective of the amount of the benefit, does not disqualify any individual, otherwise qualified, from any of the benefits contemplated herein.

Because employer did designate a time period to which the severance pay is to apply, the entire amount was correctly deducted from the two week of benefits following the separation.

DECISION:

The August 11, 2005, reference 02, decision is affirmed. The severance pay was deducted for the correct period.

mdm/pjs