# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

JAMIE L NEWELL Claimant **APPEAL NO. 20A-UI-01484-B2T** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

MIDWEST PROFESSIONAL STAFFING LLC Employer

OC: 01/26/20

Claimant: Respondent (2)

Iowa Code § 96.5-3-a – Work Refusal Iowa Code § 96.4-3 – Able and Available

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Employer filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated February 13, 2020, reference 02, which held claimant eligible for unemployment insurance benefits due to a refusal to accept work. After due notice, a telephone conference hearing was scheduled for and held on March 5, 2020. Employer participated by Erin Steinhart. Claimant failed to respond to the hearing notice and did not participate. Employer's Exhibits 1-4 were admitted into evidence.

## **ISSUES:**

Whether claimant refused to accept a suitable offer of work?

Whether claimant is able and available for work?

## **FINDINGS OF FACT:**

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: Claimant had recently been placed with Sammons Annuity where she worked as a customer correspondence specialist. On or around January 29, 2020 claimant suggested to employer that she did not wish to continue working there, but would stay on until a new placement was found. This information was given to the supervisor at Sammons, and the supervisor decided to end the placement immediately. Employer made an offer of work to the claimant on January 30, 2020. That offer included the following terms: Claimant would be hired at a minimum salary of \$32,000.00. Claimant's average weekly wage while working at Sammons was is \$600.00. The offer was made in the first week of unemployment. Claimant refused the offer of work because she no longer wanted to work in the data processing field, and wanted a placement of the receptionist type.

Claimant did have a history in both data processing and reception. When claimant originally filled out an application, she did not indicate that she would refuse placement in data processing.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant did refuse a suitable offer of work.

Iowa Code section 96.5(3)a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

- 3. Failure to accept work. If the department finds that an individual has failed, without good cause, either to apply for available, suitable work when directed by the department or to accept suitable work when offered that individual. The department shall, if possible, furnish the individual with the names of employers which are seeking employees. The individual shall apply to and obtain the signatures of the employers designated by the department on forms provided by the department. However, the employers may refuse to sign the forms. The individual's failure to obtain the signatures of designated employers, which have not refused to sign the forms, shall disqualify the individual for benefits until requalified. To requalify for benefits after disqualification under this subsection, the individual shall work in and be paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.
- a. (1) In determining whether or not any work is suitable for an individual, the department shall consider the degree of risk involved to the individual's health, safety, and morals, the individual's physical fitness, prior training, length of unemployment, and prospects for securing local work in the individual's customary occupation, the distance of the available work from the individual's residence, and any other factor which the department finds bears a reasonable relation to the purposes of this paragraph. Work is suitable if the work meets all the other criteria of this paragraph and if the gross weekly wages for the work equal or exceed the following percentages of the individual's average weekly wage for insured work paid to the individual during that quarter of the individual's base period in which the individual's wages were highest:
- (a) One hundred percent, if the work is offered during the first five weeks of unemployment.
- (b) Seventy-five percent, if the work is offered during the sixth through the twelfth week of unemployment.
- (c) Seventy percent, if the work is offered during the thirteenth through the eighteenth week of unemployment.
- (d) Sixty-five percent, if the work is offered after the eighteenth week of unemployment.
- (2) However, the provisions of this paragraph shall not require an individual to accept employment below the federal minimum wage.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.24(1)a provides:

(1) Bona fide offer of work.

a. In deciding whether or not a claimant failed to accept suitable work, or failed to apply for suitable work, it must first be established that a bona fide offer of work was made to the individual by personal contact or that a referral was offered to the claimant by personal contact to an actual job opening and a definite refusal was made by the individual. For purposes of a recall to work, a registered letter shall be deemed to be sufficient as a personal contact.

Claimant had not initially told employer she would not take employment in data processing when she applied for work. Absent this initial statement, employer offered claimant a job for which she was qualified at a rate of pay above that which she'd been receiving. The job offer was therefore suitable, and claimant's declining of the offer of suitable work equates to claimant not being able and available for work and not qualified to receive unemployment benefits.

## **DECISION:**

bab/scn

The decision of the representative dated February 13, 2020, reference 02 is reversed. Unemployment insurance benefits shall be withheld until claimant has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times claimant's weekly benefit amount, provided claimant is otherwise eligible.

Blair A. Bennett Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	