IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

CHAD M HALVERSON Claimant	APPEAL 22A-UI-11080-DB-T
	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION
IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT	
	OC: 12/8/19 Claimant: Appellant (1)

PL 116-136 Sec 2107 – Pandemic Emergency Unemployment Compensation (PEUC) Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timeliness of Appeal

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant/appellant filed an appeal from the March 5, 2021 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that found claimant was not eligible for PEUC benefits effective December 6, 2020 because he was monetarily eligible for regular unemployment insurance benefits in the State of Iowa in a new claim year. The claimant was properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on June 16, 2022. The claimant participated personally. The administrative law judge took administrative notice of the claimant's unemployment insurance benefits records. The hearing was consolidated with Appeal No. 22A-UI-11082-DB-T.

ISSUES:

Is the appeal timely? Is the claimant eligible for PEUC benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: An unemployment insurance benefits decision dated March 5, 2021 (reference 01) was mailed to the claimant's address of record. Claimant did not receive the decision in the mail. It had an appeal deadline of March 15, 2021. An appeal was filed by the claimant on May 2, 2022 when he received an overpayment of benefits decision in the mail.

Claimant had filed for unemployment insurance benefits effective December 8, 2019. His established weekly benefit amount for that claim year was \$518.00. Between December 8, 2019 and May 2, 2020, the claimant was paid regular unemployment insurance benefits from the State of Iowa for his maximum benefit amount of \$10,513.35. Claimant was automatically switched to PEUC benefits effective November 29, 2020 and paid PEUC benefits of \$518.00 per week for the seven weeks ending January 16, 2021 for a total amount of PEUC paid out of \$3,626.00 on his 2019 claim year.

Claimant filed an original claim in another benefit year with an effective date of December 6, 2020. His weekly-benefit amount for his 2020 claim year was \$512.00. He was paid regular

unemployment insurance benefits for the weeks of January 17, 2021 through April 3, 2021 and then one week-ending December 4, 2021. These payments between January 17, 2021 and December 4, 2021 were all at the rate of \$512.00 per week.

Claimant was never paid his regular unemployment insurance benefits owed to him on his December 6, 2020 claim for the weeks of December 6, 2020 through January 16, 2021 at the rate of \$512.00 per week.

Claimant was paid Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation (FPUC) benefits of \$300.00 per week for the weeks between December 27, 2020 through January 16, 2021 (total of \$900.00) in regards to the initial PEUC benefits he was paid on his December 8, 2019 claim year; however, he was never paid any FPUC payments on his December 6, 2020 claim during the weeks of December 27, 2020 through January 16, 2021.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes as follows:

The first issue is whether the claimant's appeal shall be considered timely. The administrative law judge finds that it shall.

lowa Code § 96.6(2) provides:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant. The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disgualification shall be imposed. The claimant has the burden of proving that the claimant meets the basic eligibility conditions of § 96.4. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disgualified for benefits pursuant to § 96.5, except as provided by this subsection. The claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence showing that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving § 96.5, subsection 10, and has the burden of proving that a voluntary quit pursuant to § 96.5, subsection 1, was for good cause attributable to the employer and that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving § 96.5, subsection 1, paragraphs "a" through "h". Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision. If an administrative law judge affirms a decision of the representative, or the appeal board affirms a decision of the administrative law judge allowing benefits, the benefits shall be paid regardless of any appeal which is thereafter taken, but if the decision is finally reversed, no employer's account shall be charged with benefits so paid and this relief from charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding § 96.8, subsection 5.

The ten calendar days for appeal begins running on the mailing date. The "decision date" found in the upper right-hand portion of the representative's decision, unless otherwise corrected immediately below that entry, is presumptive evidence of the date of mailing. *Gaskins v.*

Unempl. Comp. Bd. of Rev., 429 A.2d 138 (Pa. Comm. 1981); *Johnson v. Bd. of Adjustment*, 239 N.W.2d 873, 92 A.L.R.3d 304 (Iowa 1976).

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2) provides:

Date of submission and extension of time for payments and notices.

(2) The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.

a. For submission that is not within the statutory or regulatory period to be considered timely, the interested party must submit a written explanation setting forth the circumstances of the delay.

b. The division shall designate personnel who are to decide whether an extension of time shall be granted.

c. No submission shall be considered timely if the delay in filing was unreasonable, as determined by the department after considering the circumstances in the case.

d. If submission is not considered timely, although the interested party contends that the delay was due to division error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States postal service, the division shall issue an appealable decision to the interested party.

In this case, the claimant never received the decision dated March 5, 2021 in the mail. As such, the delay in the filing of an appeal was due to postal service delay and shall be considered timely.

The next issue is whether the claimant was eligible for PEUC benefits in Iowa effective December 6, 2020. The administrative law judge finds that he was not eligible for PEUC benefits effective December 6, 2020 because he was eligible for regular State of Iowa funded benefits during his December 6, 2020 claim year.

Section 2107 of the CARES Act created a new temporary federal program called Pandemic Emergency Unemployment Compensation (PEUC) that provided up to 13 additional weeks of benefits to individuals who have exhausted their regular unemployment compensation entitlement and also provides funding to states to administer the program.

PL 116-136 Sec 2107 provides in pertinent part:

PANDEMIC EMERGENCY UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION.

(a) FEDERAL-STATE AGREEMENTS. —

(1) IN GENERAL. — Any State which desires to do so may enter into and participate in an agreement under this section with the Secretary of Labor (in this section referred to as the "Secretary"). Any State which is a party to an agreement under this section may, upon providing 30 days' written notice to the Secretary, terminate such agreement.

(2) PROVISIONS OF AGREEMENT. ---

Any agreement under paragraph (1) shall provide that the State agency of the State will make payments of pandemic emergency unemployment compensation to individuals who—

(A) have exhausted all rights to regular compensation under the State law or under Federal law with respect to a benefit year (excluding any benefit year that ended before July 1, 2019);

(B) have no rights to regular compensation with respect to a week under such law or any other State unemployment compensation law or to compensation under any other Federal law;

(C) are not receiving compensation with respect to such week under the unemployment compensation law of Canada; and

(D) are able to work, available to work, and actively seeking work.

(emphasis added).

In this case, the claimant was monetarily eligible for regular unemployment insurance benefits on his December 6, 2020 claim year at the weekly-benefit rate of \$512.00. As such, PEUC benefits effective December 6, 2020 are denied.

DECISION:

The appeal shall be considered timely. The March 5, 2021 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. The claimant was not eligible for PEUC benefits in Iowa effective December 6, 2020 as he was eligible for regular unemployment insurance benefits in the State of Iowa.

Jan Moucher

Dawn Boucher Administrative Law Judge

June 23, 2022 Decision Dated and Mailed

db/db