IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

BARBARA L WOLFE

APPEAL 21A-UI-20328-CS-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

GREENSTATE CREDIT UNION

Employer

OC: 07/25/21 Claimant: Appellant (4)

lowa Code § 96.4(3) – Ability to and Availability for Work lowa Code §96.5(2)a-Discharge/Misconduct lowa Code §96.5(1)- Voluntary Quit

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On September 10, 2021, the claimant/appellant filed an appeal from the September 7, 2021, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that disallowed benefits based on claimant voluntarily quitting for a non-work related illness or injury. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on November 3, 2021. Claimant participated at the hearing. Claimant was represented by attorney, Tristian Pollard. Employer did not register a number to participate in the hearing prior to the hearing and therefore did not participate in the hearing. Claimant's Exhibit A and B were admitted into the record. Administrative notice was taken of claimant's unemployment insurance records.

ISSUES:

Was the separation a layoff, discharge for misconduct, or voluntary quit without good cause?

Is the claimant able to and available for work?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant began working for employer on September 28, 2020. Claimant last worked as a full-time Mac Representative. Claimant was separated from employment on July 29, 2021.

Claimant was on a leave of absence beginning on June 10, 2021, due to claimant experiencing depression, anxiety and migraines. (Exhibit B, pg. 1). The claimant did not establish that the medical issues were work related. On July 29, 2021, the claimant notified the employer that she needed to continue her leave of absence until August 12, 2021. (Exhibit B, pg. 10). The employer notified claimant that she was discharged because they could no longer accommodate her additional medical leave. (Exhibit A). Claimant was on a work restriction until at least August 12,

2021. There was not documentation provided establishing that claimant has been released to return to work.

Claimant has not attempted to return to the employer to offer her services. Claimant has secured two new positions and the first position began on Friday, October 29, 2021.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant did not quit but was discharged for no disqualifying reason.

lowa Code section 96.5(1)*d* provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:

d. The individual left employment because of illness, injury or pregnancy upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician, and upon knowledge of the necessity for absence immediately notified the employer, or the employer consented to the absence, and after recovering from the illness, injury or pregnancy, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the individual returned to the employer and offered to perform services and the individual's regular work or comparable suitable work was not available, if so found by the department, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(35) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving Iowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(35) The claimant left because of illness or injury which was not caused or aggravated by the employment or pregnancy and failed to:

a. Obtain the advice of a licensed and practicing physician;

b. Obtain certification of release for work from a licensed and practicing physician;

c. Return to the employer and offer services upon recovery and certification for work by a licensed and practicing physician; or

d. Fully recover so that the claimant could perform all of the duties of the job.

Disqualification from benefits pursuant to lowa Code § 96.5(1) requires a finding that the quit was voluntary. *Geiken v. Lutheran Home for the Aged Ass'n*, 468 N.W.2d 223, 226 (lowa 1991). An absence is not voluntary if returning to work would jeopardize the employee's health. A physician's work restriction is evidence an employee is not medically able to work. *Wilson Trailer Co. v. Iowa Emp't. Sec. Comm'n*, 168 N.W.2d 771, 775-6 (lowa 1969).

Where an employee did not voluntarily quit but was terminated while absent under medical care, the employee is allowed benefits and is not required to return to the employer and offer services pursuant to the subsection d exception of lowa Code section 96.5(1). *Prairie Ridge Addiction Treatment Servs. v. Jackson and Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 810 N.W.2d 532 (lowa Ct. App. 2012).

The claimant is not required to return to the employer to offer services after the medical recovery because she has already been involuntarily terminated from the employment while under medical care. Although an employer is not obligated to provide light duty work for an employee whose illness or injury is not work related, unless reasonable accommodation can be offered, the involuntary termination from employment while under medical care was a discharge from employment. Thus, the burden of proof shifts to the employer.

lowa Code section 96.5(2) a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(1)*a* provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

(1) Definition.

a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

This definition has been accepted by the lowa Supreme Court as accurately reflecting the intent of the legislature. *Huntoon v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 275 N.W.2d 445, 448 (lowa 1979).

The employer has the burden of proof in establishing disqualifying job misconduct. *Cosper v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 321 N.W.2d 6 (lowa 1982). The issue is not whether the employer made a correct decision in separating claimant, but whether the claimant is entitled to unemployment

insurance benefits. *Infante v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 364 N.W.2d 262 (Iowa Ct. App. 1984). What constitutes misconduct justifying termination of an employee and what misconduct warrants denial of unemployment insurance benefits are two separate decisions. *Pierce v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 425 N.W.2d 679 (Iowa Ct. App. 1988).

The employer was not present and did not present evidence establishing job related misconduct that would disqualify claimant from benefits. Since claimant was still under medical care and had not yet been released to return to work without restrictions as of the date of separation, no disqualifying reason for the separation has been established.

Next it must be determined if claimant is able to work and available for work. The administrative law judge finds claimant is not able to and available for work effective July 29, 2021.

lowa Code section 96.4(3) provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph (1), or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(1)a provides:

Benefits eligibility conditions. For an individual to be eligible to receive benefits the department must find that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work. The individual bears the burden of establishing that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work.

(1) Able to work. An individual must be physically and mentally able to work in some gainful employment, not necessarily in the individual's customary occupation, but which is engaged in by others as a means of livelihood.

a. Illness, injury or pregnancy. Each case is decided upon an individual basis, recognizing that various work opportunities present different physical requirements. A statement from a medical practitioner is considered prima facie evidence of the physical ability of the individual to perform the work required. A pregnant individual must meet the same criteria for determining ableness as do all other individuals.

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(35) provides:

Availability disqualifications. The following are reasons for a claimant being disqualified for being unavailable for work.

(35) Where the claimant is not able to work and is under the care of a medical practitioner and has not been released as being able to work.

To be able to work, "[a]n individual must be physically and mentally able to work in some gainful employment, not necessarily in the individual's customary occupation, but which is engaged in by others as a means of livelihood." *Sierra v. Employment Appeal Board*, 508 N.W.2d 719, 721 (lowa 1993); *Geiken v. Lutheran Home for the Aged*, 468 N.W.2d 223 (lowa 1991); lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(1). "An evaluation of an individual's ability to work for the purposes of determining that individual's eligibility for unemployment benefits must necessarily take into consideration the economic and legal forces at work in the general labor market in which the individual resides." *Sierra* at 723. The court in *Gilmore v. Empl. Appeal Bd.*, 695 N.W.2d 44 (lowa Ct. App. 2004) noted that "[i]nsofar as the Employment Security Law is not designed to provide health and disability insurance, only those employees who experience illness-induced separations that can fairly be attributed to the employer are properly eligible for unemployment benefits." *White v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 487 N.W.2d 342, 345 (lowa 1992) (citing *Butts v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 328 N.W.2d 515, 517 (lowa 1983)).

Since the employment ended on July 29, 2021, claimant is no longer obligated to return to employer upon her medical release to offer her services. At that point, her ability to work is not measured by the job she held most recently, but by standards of her education, training, and work history. However, claimant has not provided evidence of her treating physician releasing her back to work to establish her ability to work. Benefits are withheld until such time as the claimant obtains a medical release to return to work. When the claimant obtains a written work release she is encouraged to contact her local workforce development office and provide them a copy so she will be deemed available for work.

DECISION:

The September 7, 2021, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is modified in favor of the appellant. The claimant did not quit but was discharged for no disqualifying reason. Claimant is not able to work and available for work effective June 10, 2021. Benefits are withheld until such time as she obtains a medical release to return to some type of work for which she is qualified given her education, training, and work history, provided she is otherwise eligible.

Carly Smith

Carly Smith Administrative Law Judge Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau

December 3, 2021_____ Decision Dated and Mailed

cs/scn

NOTE TO CLAIMANT: This decision determines you are not eligible for regular unemployment insurance benefits. If you disagree with this decision you may file an appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by following the instructions on the first page of this decision. Individuals who do not qualify for regular unemployment insurance benefits but who were unemployed for reasons related to COVID-19 may qualify for Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA). You will need to apply for PUA to determine your eligibility under the program. Additional information on how to apply for PUA can be found at https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/pua-information. If this decision becomes final or if you are not eligible for PUA, you may have an overpayment of benefits.

ATTENTION: On May 11, 2021, Governor Reynolds announced that lowa will end its participation in federal pandemic-related unemployment benefit programs effective June 12, 2021. The last payable week for PUA in lowa is the week ending June 12, 2021. You may be eligible for benefits incurred prior to June 12, 2021. Additional information can be found in the press release at <u>https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/iowa-end-participation-federal-unemployment-benefit-programs-citing-strong-labor-market-and</u>.