IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

	68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI
JED A KAISER Claimant	APPEAL NO. 08A-UI-10222-HT
	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION
LYCOS INC Employer	
	OC: 07/27/08 R: 01

Claimant: Appellant (2)

Section 96.5(3)a- Refusal of Work

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant, Jed Kaiser, filed an appeal from a decision dated October 21, 2008, reference 01. The decision disqualified him from receiving unemployment benefits. After due notice was issued a hearing was held by telephone conference call on November 18, 2008. The claimant participated on his own behalf. The employer, Lycos, did not provide a telephone number where a witness could be contacted and did not participate.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant refused a suitable offer of work.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Jed Kaiser was employed by Lycos from April until July 10, 2008 as a full-time fabricator. On July 10, 2008, Owner John Taylor notified him he was being laid off for lack of work. Mr. Kaiser was not given a firm return to work date but Mr. Taylor said he would "let him know."

Since that date the employer has not contacted the claimant, by phone, mail or in person, to return to work.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 96.5-3-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

3. Failure to accept work. If the department finds that an individual has failed, without good cause, either to apply for available, suitable work when directed by the department or to accept suitable work when offered that individual. The department shall, if possible, furnish the individual with the names of employers which are seeking employees. The individual shall apply to and obtain the signatures of the employers designated by the department on forms provided by the department. However, the employers may refuse to sign the forms. The individual's failure to obtain the signatures of designated

employers, which have not refused to sign the forms, shall disqualify the individual for benefits until requalified. To requalify for benefits after disqualification under this subsection, the individual shall work in and be paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

a. In determining whether or not any work is suitable for an individual, the department shall consider the degree of risk involved to the individual's health, safety, and morals, the individual's physical fitness, prior training, length of unemployment, and prospects for securing local work in the individual's customary occupation, the distance of the available work from the individual's residence, and any other factor which the department finds bears a reasonable relation to the purposes of this paragraph. Work is suitable if the work meets all the other criteria of this paragraph and if the gross weekly wages for the work equal or exceed the following percentages of the individual's average weekly wage for insured work paid to the individual during that quarter of the individual's base period in which the individual's wages were highest:

(1) One hundred percent, if the work is offered during the first five weeks of unemployment.

(2) Seventy-five percent, if the work is offered during the sixth through the twelfth week of unemployment.

(3) Seventy percent, if the work is offered during the thirteenth through the eighteenth week of unemployment.

(4) Sixty-five percent, if the work is offered after the eighteenth week of unemployment.

However, the provisions of this paragraph shall not require an individual to accept employment below the federal minimum wage.

The record establishes the employer did not contact the claimant to recall him to work.

DECISION:

The representative's decision of October 21, 2008, reference 01, is reversed. Jed Kaiser is qualified for benefits, provided he is otherwise eligible.

Bonny G. Hendricksmeyer Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

bgh/pjs