IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

BLAYNE W CARTER Claimant

APPEAL NO: 13A-UI-05718-ST

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

OC: 04/15/12 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 8A.504 – Debt Setoff Procedures Section 96.11-16 – Debt Setoff Cost 871 IAC 25.15 – Income Tax Offset

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant appealed a department decision dated May 3, 2013, reference 05, that held "your lowa income tax refund is being withheld to repay a benefit overpayment." A telephone hearing was held on June 27, 2013. The claimant participated.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether claimant's lowa tax refund can be withheld to repay a UI benefit overpayment.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge having heard the claimant's testimony and having considered the evidence in the record finds: Claimant filed a UI claim effective April 17, 2011. The department issued an August 9, 2012 decision reference 03 that disqualified him by reason of his April 6, 2012 employment separation from RJ Elliot. Claimant did not appeal.

The department record shows claimant had claimed for and received UI benefits totaling \$1,684.00 for the five weeks ending May 5, 2012. By reason of the department August 9 decision, the department issued an August 15, 2012 overpayment decision reference 04 that he is overpaid the \$1,684.00 UI benefits he had received. Claimant did not appeal.

The department record shows claimant is entitled to an Iowa tax refund that exceeds \$50.00. It is subject to debt set-off for the collection of the overpayment.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Section 96.11-16 Reimbursement of Setoff Costs. The department shall include in the amount of setoff in accordance with section <u>8A.504</u> for collection of an overpayment created pursuant to section <u>96.3-7 or 96.16-4</u> an additional amount for the reimbursement of setoff costs incurred by Department of Administrative Services.

871 IAC 25.16 provides:

State payment offset. An individual who is owed a payment from the state of at least \$50 and owes an overpayment of benefits of at least \$50 is subject to an offset against the individual's payment from the state to recover all or a part of the individual's overpayment of benefits and to reimburse the department of revenue and finance for administrative costs to execute the offset. All overpayments, whether fraud or nonfraud, are included in this process.

(1) If the individual has made no attempt to repay the overpayment of benefits within the preceding six months, the individual's name and social security number are given to the department of revenue and finance.

(2) The department of revenue and finance notifies the department that an overpaid individual is owed a payment from the state. The department then notifies the overpaid individual of the potential offset against the individual's payment from the state.

(3) In the case of a joint or combined income tax filing, the individual has ten days from the postmark date on the decision to request a split of the refund to ensure the other party's portion of the refund is not offset. When a request is made, the department notifies the department of revenue and finance to make the split. The department then notifies the overpaid individual of the amount of the offset. If the request for split of the refund is not made timely, the entire income tax refund becomes subject to offset.

(4) Any appeal by the individual is limited to the validity of job service's authority to recoup the overpayment through offset.

(5) In the event that the amount of the offset exceeds the remaining overpayment, the department shall issue to the individual a special check equal to the amount of the excess.

This rule is intended to implement Iowa Code sections 96.11 and 421.17(26,29).

The administrative law judge concludes the department is entitled to withhold claimant's lowa income tax refund to apply against the \$1,684.00 overpayment.

The record establishes the claimant has an outstanding overpayment of UI benefits that is subject to collection by debt set-off procedure.

DECISION:

The department decision dated May 3, 2013, reference 05, is affirmed. The department has the authority to withhold claimant's income tax refund to repay an outstanding UI benefit overpayment.

Randy L. Stephenson Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

rls/pjs