### IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

	68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - El
BABETTE L BATES	APPEAL NO. 13A-UI-12098-S2T
Claimant	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION
LINK ASSOCIATES Employer	
	OC: 09/29/13

Claimant: Respondent (2)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit Section 96.3-7 – Recovery of Overpayment of Benefits, Employer participation at Fact Finding

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Link Associates (employer) appealed a representative's October 24, 2013, decision (reference 01) that concluded Babette Bates (claimant) was eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was scheduled for November 19, 2013. The claimant participated personally. The employer participated by Jay Bruns, Corporate Operations Director; Yvette Mixon, Residential Supervisor; Robin Stewart, Human Resources Manager; and Valerie Schwager, Program Director. The claimant offered and Exhibit A and B were received into evidence.

#### **ISSUE:**

The issue is whether the claimant was separated from employment for any disqualifying reason.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired on March 1, 2010, as a full-time residential counselor in Johnston, Iowa. The claimant is also a full-time student at William Penn University. She has a four-year-old, a nine-year-old, and a ten-year-old. The four-year-old is in preschool and school starts at 9:00 a.m. The older children's school starts at 8:00 a.m. The claimant's boyfriend prepares the children for school and the claimant takes the children to school. The claimant and her boyfriend have one vehicle. The claimant has personal reasons for not wanting the children to take the school bus to school. The claimant has not looked into carpooling or other means of transporting her children to school.

On March 1, 2012, the claimant started working 11:00 p.m. to 8 a.m. In August and September 2013, the employer had staff meetings discussing the move of the staff to a location in West Des Moines, Iowa, eleven miles away. The move was effective on October 1, 2013. Due to the employer's needs for staffing assignments, the claimant's hours would change to 11:00 p.m. to 8:15 a.m.

After September 26, 2013, the claimant met with the employer and told them the new hours would not work because of transportation issues with getting her children to school. The employer offered the claimant suggestions for other positions within the company. On September 26, 2013, the employer sent the claimant a letter outlining the upcoming changes. The claimant requested and was granted time off from September 30 through October 4, 2013. On September 27, 2013, the claimant sent the employer a letter of resignation effective October 4, 2013. The claimant quit work due to the change in commuting distance that changed on October 1, 2013, and the change in hours that changed on October 25, 2013. Continued work was available had the claimant not resigned.

The claimant filed for unemployment insurance benefits with an effective date of September 29, 2013. She received \$1,981.00 in benefits after the separation from employment. The employer participated personally at the fact-finding interview on October 23, 2013, by Yvette Mixon and Robin Stewart.

# REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily quit work without good cause attributable to the employer.

871 IAC 24.26(1) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(1) A change in the contract of hire. An employer's willful breach of contract of hire shall not be a disqualifiable issue. This would include any change that would jeopardize the worker's safety, health or morals. The change of contract of hire must be substantial in nature and could involve changes in working hours, shifts, remuneration, location of employment, drastic modification in type of work, etc. Minor changes in a worker's routine on the job would not constitute a change of contract of hire.

A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. Local Lodge #1426 v. <u>Wilson Trailer</u>, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980). The claimant's intention to voluntarily leave work was evidenced by the claimant's actions. The claimant told the employer she was quitting and stopped appearing for work. The claimant asserts that she quit work due to a change in her contract for hire related to work location and shift. A 25 percent to 35 percent reduction in working hours is, as a matter of law, a substantial change in the contract of hire. A substantial pay reduction creates good cause attributable to the employer for a resignation. Dehmel v. <u>Employment Appeal Board</u>, 433 N.W.2d 700 (Iowa 1988). In the claimant's case the distance of eleven miles in a metropolitan area and a fifteen-minute increase is not substantial. The claimant has not provided sufficient evidence to prove that she quit work due to a substantial change in her contract for hire.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

## 871 IAC 24.25(17) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving Iowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(17) The claimant left because of lack of child care.

When an employee quits work to take care of her children, her leaving is without good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant left work to take care for her children and drive them to school. Her leaving was without good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

The unemployment insurance law requires benefits be recovered from a claimant who receives benefits and is later denied benefits even if the claimant acted in good faith and was not at fault. However, a claimant will not have to repay an overpayment when an initial decision to award benefits on an employment separation issue is reversed on appeal if two conditions are met: (1) the claimant did not receive the benefits due to fraud or willful misrepresentation, and (2) the employer failed to participate in the initial proceeding that awarded benefits. In addition, if a claimant is not required to repay an overpayment because the employer failed to participate in the initial proceeding for the overpaid benefits. Iowa Code § 96.3-7-a, -b.

871 IAC 24.10 provides:

Employer and employer representative participation in fact-finding interviews.

(1) "Participate," as the term is used for employers in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, means submitting detailed factual information of the quantity and quality that if unrebutted would be sufficient to result in a decision favorable to the employer. The most effective means to participate is to provide live testimony at the interview from a witness with firsthand knowledge of the events leading to the separation. If no live testimony is provided, the employer must provide the name and telephone number of an employee with firsthand information who may be contacted, if necessary, for rebuttal. A party may also participate by providing detailed written statements or documents that provide detailed factual information of the events leading to separation. At a minimum, the information provided by the employer or the employer's representative must identify the dates and particular circumstances of the incident or incidents, including, in the case of discharge, the act or omissions of the claimant or, in the event of a voluntary separation, the stated reason for the quit. The specific rule or policy must be submitted if the claimant was discharged for violating such rule or policy. In the case of discharge for attendance violations, the information must include the circumstances of all incidents the employer or the employer's representative contends meet the definition of unexcused absences as set forth in 871-subrule 24.32(7). On the other hand, written or oral statements or general conclusions without supporting detailed factual information and information submitted after the fact-finding decision has been issued are not considered participation within the meaning of the statute.

(2) "A continuous pattern of nonparticipation in the initial determination to award benefits," pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, as the term is used for an entity representing employers, means on 25 or more occasions in a calendar quarter beginning with the first calendar quarter of 2009, the entity files appeals after failing to participate. Appeals filed but withdrawn before the day of the contested case hearing will not be considered in determining if a continuous pattern of nonparticipation exists. The division administrator shall notify the employer's representative in writing after each such appeal.

(3) If the division administrator finds that an entity representing employers as defined in lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, has engaged in a continuous pattern of nonparticipation, the division administrator shall suspend said representative for a period of up to six months on the first occasion, up to one year on the second occasion and up to ten years on the third or subsequent occasion. Suspension by the division administrator constitutes final agency action and may be appealed pursuant to Iowa Code section 17A.19.

(4) "Fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual," as the term is used for claimants in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, means providing knowingly false statements or knowingly false denials of material facts for the purpose of obtaining unemployment insurance benefits. Statements or denials may be either oral or written by the claimant. Inadvertent misstatements or mistakes made in good faith are not considered fraud or willful misrepresentation.

This rule is intended to implement Iowa Code section 96.3(7)"b" as amended by 2008 Iowa Acts, Senate File 2160.

The claimant has received unemployment insurance benefits that she was not entitled to receive. The employer participated personally in the fact-finding interview and is not chargeable. The claimant is overpaid unemployment insurance benefits.

#### DECISION:

The representative's October 24, 2013, decision (reference 01) is reversed. The claimant voluntarily left work without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until the claimant has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the claimant's weekly benefit amount, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible. The claimant has received unemployment insurance benefits that she was not entitled to receive. The employer participated personally in the fact-finding interview and is not chargeable. The claimant is overpaid unemployment insurance benefits.

Beth A. Scheetz Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

bas/pjs