IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

	68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - El
TRAVIS C FINCEL Claimant	APPEAL NO: 18A-UI-07813-JTT
	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION
STREAM INTERNATIONAL INC Employer	
	OC: 04/15/18 Claimant: Respondent (6)

Iowa Code § 17A.12(3) – Default Decision Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-26.14(7) – Dismissal of Appeal on Default

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed an appeal from the July 13, 2018 reference 04, decision that allowed benefits to the claimant provided he was otherwise eligible and that stated the claimant's benefit eligibility would be redetermined, based on an Agency conclusion that the claimant was laid off pursuant to a business closing. A notice of hearing was mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record for a telephone hearing to be held at 1:00 p.m. on August 10, 2018. The employer registered a telephone number for the hearing and named Amy Canfield as the employer's representative. However, the employer was not available at the telephone number provided for the hearing and did not participate in the hearing. The claimant did not register a telephone number for the employer/appellant's failure to participate in the hearing and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law and decision.

ISSUE:

Should the appeal be dismissed based upon the employer/appellant not participating in the hearing?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The employer is the appellant in this matter. The employer was properly notified of the appeal hearing set for 1:00 p.m. on August 10, 2018 through the hearing notice that was mailed to the employer's last-known address of record on July 26, 2018. On August 1, 2018, and again on August 8, 2018, the employer or the employer's third-party representative, Talx/Equifax, accessed the Clear 2 There website, registered a telephone number for the hearing, and named Amy Canfield, Human Resources Supervisor, as the employer representative for the appeal hearing. The employer was not available at the telephone number provided for the hearing and did not participate in the hearing or request a postponement of the hearing as required by the hearing notice. At the time of the hearing, the administrative law judge attempted to reach the employer at the number registered for the hearing. The number the employer registered for the hearing was a multi-layered automated answering system with no option for accessing a receptionist or operator. The employer had not provided an extension for Ms. Canfield and the

administrative law judge was unable to access any person through the automated answering system.

The July 13, 2018 reference 04, decision allowed benefits to the claimant provided he was otherwise eligible and stated that the claimant's benefit eligibility would be redetermined, based on an Agency conclusion that the claimant was laid off pursuant to a business closing.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The Iowa Administrative Procedures Act at Iowa Code § 17A.12(3) provides in pertinent part:

If a party fails to appear or participate in a contested case proceeding after proper service of notice, the presiding officer may, if no adjournment is granted, enter a default decision or proceed with the hearing and make a decision in the absence of the party. ... If a decision is rendered against a party who failed to appear for the hearing and the presiding officer is timely requested by that party to vacate the decision for good cause, the time for initiating a further appeal is stayed pending a determination by the presiding officer to grant or deny the request. If adequate reasons are provided showing good cause for the party's failure to appear, the presiding officer shall vacate the decision and, after proper service of notice, conduct another evidentiary hearing. If adequate reasons are not provided showing good cause for the party's failure to appear, the party's failure to appear, the presiding officer shall deny the motion to vacate.

Iowa Administrative Code rule 871-26.14(7) provides:

(7) If a party has not responded to a notice of telephone hearing by providing the appeals bureau with the names and telephone numbers of the persons who are participating in the hearing by the scheduled starting time of the hearing or is not available at the telephone number provided, the presiding officer may proceed with the hearing. If the appealing party fails to provide a telephone number or is unavailable for the hearing, the presiding officer may decide the appealing party is in default and dismiss the appeal as provide in Iowa Code section 17A.12(3). The record may be reopened if the absent party makes a request to reopen the hearing in writing under subrule 26.8(3) and shows good cause for reopening the hearing.

a. If an absent party responds to the hearing notice while the hearing is in progress, the presiding officer shall pause to admit the party, summarize the hearing to that point, administer the oath, and resume the hearing.

b. If a party responds to the notice of hearing after the record has been closed and any party which has participated is no longer on the telephone line, the presiding officer shall not take the evidence of the late party.

c. Failure to read or follow the instructions on the notice of hearing shall not constitute good cause for reopening the record.

Iowa Administrative Code rule 871-26.8(4) provides:

(4) A request to reopen a record or vacate a decision must be made in writing. If necessary, the presiding officer may hear, ex parte, additional information regarding the request for reopening. The granting or denial of such a request may be used as grounds

for appeal to the employment appeal board of the department of inspections and appeals upon the issuance of the presiding officer's final decision in the case.

The employer/appellant appealed the representative's decision but failed to participate in the hearing. The employer/appellant has therefore defaulted on its appeal pursuant to Iowa Code §17A.12(3) and Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.14(7), and the representative's decision remains in force and effect.

If the employer/appellant disagrees with this decision, pursuant to the rule, the employer/appellant must make a written request to the administrative law judge that the hearing be reopened within 15 days after the mailing date of this decision. The written request should be mailed to the administrative law judge at the address listed at the end of this decision and must explain the good cause that prevented the employer/appellant from participating in the hearing at its scheduled time.

DECISION:

The July 13, 2018 reference 04, decision is affirmed. The decision that allowed benefits to the claimant provided he was otherwise eligible and that stated the claimant's benefit eligibility would be redetermined, based on an Agency conclusion that the claimant was laid off pursuant to a business closing, remains in effect.

James E. Timberland Administrative Law Judge Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau Iowa Workforce Development 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209 Fax 515-478-3528

Decision Dated and Mailed

jet/rvs