

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU**

---

**MARIA E ARAGON**  
Claimant

**LA LEASING INC  
SEDONA GROUP**  
Employer

**APPEAL 16A-UI-13248-DG-T**  
**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
DECISION**

**OC: 11/06/16**  
**Claimant: Appellant (2)**

---

Iowa Code § 96.5(1)j – Voluntary Quitting – Temporary Employment

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE:**

The claimant filed an appeal from the December 7, 2016, (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based upon voluntarily quitting the employment. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on January 4, 2017. Claimant participated with the assistance of a Spanish Interpreter. Employer participated through unemployment benefits assistant administrator Emelia Leeney, and account manager Tanner McCutcheon.

**ISSUE:**

Did claimant quit by not reporting for additional work assignments within three business days of the end of the last assignment?

**FINDINGS OF FACT:**

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed full-time as a temporary employee assigned at Plastic Products on November 19, 2015, and was separated from the assignment on October 31, 2016. A Sedona Staffing representative notified the claimant of the end of the assignment. After the assignment ended, the claimant failed to report to the employer within three working days to indicate availability for work, and request further assignment as required by written policy. The claimant did not receive a copy of the reassignment reporting policy in Spanish.

**REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left the employment with good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code § 96.5-(1)-j provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:

j. (1) The individual is a temporary employee of a temporary employment firm who notifies the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment and who seeks reassignment. Failure of the individual to notify the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment within three working days of the completion of each employment assignment under a contract of hire shall be deemed a voluntary quit unless the individual was not advised in writing of the duty to notify the temporary employment firm upon completion of an employment assignment or the individual had good cause for not contacting the temporary employment firm within three working days and notified the firm at the first reasonable opportunity thereafter.

(2) To show that the employee was advised in writing of the notification requirement of this paragraph, the temporary employment firm shall advise the temporary employee by requiring the temporary employee, at the time of employment with the temporary employment firm, to read and sign a document that provides a clear and concise explanation of the notification requirement and the consequences of a failure to notify. The document shall be separate from any contract of employment and a copy of the signed document shall be provided to the temporary employee.

(3) For the purposes of this paragraph:

(a) "Temporary employee" means an individual who is employed by a temporary employment firm to provide services to clients to supplement their workforce during absences, seasonal workloads, temporary skill or labor market shortages, and for special assignments and projects.

(b) "Temporary employment firm" means a person engaged in the business of employing temporary employees.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.26(19) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(19) The claimant was employed on a temporary basis for assignment to spot jobs or casual labor work and fulfilled the contract of hire when each of the jobs was completed. An election not to report for a new assignment to work shall not be construed as a voluntary leaving of employment. The issue of a refusal of an offer of suitable work shall be adjudicated when an offer of work is made by the former employer. The provisions of Iowa Code section 96.5(3) and rule 24.24(96) are controlling in the determination of suitability of work. However, this subrule shall not apply to substitute school employees who are subject to the provisions of Iowa Code section 96.4(5) which denies benefits that are based on service in an educational institution when the individual declines or refuses to accept a new contract or reasonable assurance of continued employment status. Under this circumstance, the substitute school employee shall be considered to have voluntarily quit employment.

Each assignment with a temporary agency is considered a separate period of employment. The purpose of the statute is to provide notice to the temporary agency employer that the claimant is available for work at the conclusion of each temporary assignment so they may be reassigned and continue working. The plain language of the statute allows benefits for a claimant “who notifies the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment *and* who seeks reassignment.” (Emphasis supplied.)

The employer hired claimant for mutual benefit to fill a temporary work order with the knowledge that she does not speak or read English but expected her to understand the reporting policy written in English without providing translation or interpretation assistance. Without knowledge or understanding of the reporting policy, claimant was reasonable to opt to look for work elsewhere or to report for additional work when she did. Employer did not submit a copy of the dated and signed policy, and if claimant was given the policy it was not in Spanish. As a result claimant did not knowingly ignore the policy.

**DECISION:**

The December 7, 2016, (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision is reversed. The claimant's separation was attributable to the employer. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

---

Duane L. Golden  
Administrative Law Judge

---

Decision Dated and Mailed

dlg/rvs