IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

JESUS J GOMEZ 1013 S CENTER ST MARSHALLTOWN IA 50158

TYSON FRESH MEATS INC ^C/₀ TALX UCM SERVICES, INC PO BOX 283 ST LOUIS MO 63166 0283

Appeal Number:05A-UI-11999-DWTOC:10/09/05R:02Claimant:Respondent(6)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the *Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.*

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

- 1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- 2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4. The grounds upon which such appeal are based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

871 IAC 26.8(1) - Withdrawal of Appeal

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Tyson Fresh Meats, Inc. (employer) appealed a representative's November 14, 2005 decision (reference 02) that concluded Jesus J. Gomez (claimant) was qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits, and the employer's account could be charged because the claimant had been discharged for nondisqualifying reasons. A hearing was scheduled on December 15, 2005. The claimant appeared for the hearing. Ike Rocha was present to interpret the hearing. At the time of the hearing, the employer withdrew its appeal in this matter. Based on the employer's withdrawal request, the administrative record, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The employer withdrew its appeal from the November 14, 2005 decision. The employer's withdrawal request was tape-recorded.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

871 IAC 26.8(1) provides:

(1) An appeal may be withdrawn at any time prior to the issuance of a decision upon the request of the appellant and with the approval of the presiding officer to whom the case is assigned. Requests for withdrawal may be made in writing or orally, provided the oral request is tape-recorded by the presiding officer.

The employer's request to withdraw its appeal is approved.

DECISION:

The representative's November 14, 2005 decision (reference 02) is affirmed. The employer's withdrawal request is approved. The claimant remains qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits as of October 9, 2005, provided he meets all other eligibility requirements. The employer's account may be charged.

dlw/kjw