IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

REBECCA S WAGER

Claimant

APPEAL NO: 09A-UI-03804-DWT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

DECISION

CLAYTON WEAVER TRUCKING

Employer

OC: 06/01/08

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit Section 96.6-2 – Timeliness of Appeal

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Rebecca S. Wager (claimant) appealed a representative's February 3, 2009 decision (reference 03) that concluded she was not qualified to receive benefits because she voluntarily quit working for Clayton Weaver Trucking (employer) for reasons that do not qualify her to receive benefits. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on March 25, 2009. The claimant participated in the hearing with her witness, Eric Britton. Steve Bowden appeared on the employer's behalf. Based on the evidence, the arguments of the parties, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

ISSUE:

Did the claimant file a timely appeal or establish a legal excuse for filing a late appeal?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The claimant established a claim for benefits during the week of June 1, 2008. She reopened her claim during the week of December 7, 2008. On February 3, 2009, a representative's decision held the claimant was not qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits as of November 30, 2008.

The claimant received the representative's decision by February 11, 2009. The claimant did not file her appeal until March 7, 2009

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after a representative's decision is mailed to the parties' last-known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final. Benefits shall then be paid or denied in accordance with the representative's decision. Iowa Code section 96.6-2. Pursuant to rules 871 IAC 26.2(96)(1) and 871 IAC 24.35(96)(1), appeals are considered filed when postmarked, if mailed. Messina v. IDJS, 341 N.W.2d 52 (Iowa 1983).

The Iowa Supreme Court has ruled that appeals from unemployment insurance decisions must be filed within the time limit set by statute and the administrative law judge has no authority to review a decision if a timely appeal is not filed. <u>Franklin v. IDJS</u>, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979); <u>Beardslee v. IDJS</u>, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979). In this case, the claimant's appeal was filed after the February 13, 2009 deadline for appealing expired.

The next question is whether the claimant had a reasonable opportunity to file an appeal in a timely fashion. <u>Hendren v. IESC</u>, 217 N.W.2d 255 (lowa 1974); <u>Smith v. IESC</u>, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (lowa 1973). The evidence establishes the claimant had a reasonable opportunity to file a timely appeal, but did not.

The claimant's failure to file a timely appeal was not due to any Agency error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States Postal Service, which under 871 IAC 24.35(2) would excuse the delay in filing an appeal. Since the claimant did not file a timely appeal or establish a legal excuse for filing a late appeal, the Appeals Section has no jurisdiction to make a decision on the merits of the claimant's appeal. This means the February 3, 2009 decision remains in effect.

DECISION:

The representative's February 3, 2009 decision (reference 03) is affirmed. The claimant did not file a timely appeal or establish a legal excuse for filing a late appeal. The Appeals Section has no jurisdiction to address the merits of her appeal. This means the claimant remains disqualified from receiving unemployment insurance benefits as of November 30, 2008. This disqualification continues until she has been paid ten times her weekly benefit amount for insured work, provided she is otherwise eligible.

Debra L. Wise Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	
dlw/pis	