

**BEFORE THE
EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD
Lucas State Office Building
Fourth floor
Des Moines, Iowa 50319**

KIMBERLY A SCALLON

Claimant

and

KIDQUEST

Employer

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

HEARING NUMBER: 21B-UI-12573

**EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD
DECISION**

SECTION: 10A.601 Employment Appeal Board Review

DECISION

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The notice of hearing in this matter was mailed November 4, 2020. The notice set a hearing for December 10, 2020 at 9:30 a.m. The Claimant registered her contact information as instructed by the notice. On the day of the hearing, the Claimant did not appear for or participate in the hearing. The reason the Claimant did not appear is because she was taking care of a family medical emergency (her son's injury), and missed the call. When she tried to contact the agency to participate, the record was closed. The Claimant provided an attendance document showing her son's absence from daycare that day

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 10A.601(4) (2019) provides:

4. Appeal board review. The appeal board may on its own motion affirm, modify, or set aside any decision of an administrative law judge on the basis of the evidence previously submitted in such case, or direct the taking of additional evidence, or may permit any of the parties to such decision to initiate further appeals before it. The appeal board shall permit such further appeal by any of the parties interested in a decision of an administrative law judge and by the representative whose decision has been overruled or modified by the administrative law judge. The appeal board shall review the case pursuant to rules adopted by the appeal board. The appeal board shall promptly notify the interested parties of its findings and decision.

Here the Claimant did not participate in the hearing through no fault of her own. The Claimant experienced an unforeseen emergency circumstance with her young son that prevented her from taking the call. By the time she called in, the record was closed. The Claimant has demonstrated her intention to follow through with the appeals process. Based on this circumstance, we find good cause has been established. For this reason, the matter will be remanded for another hearing before an administrative law judge so that the Claimant may avail herself of her due process right.

We caution the claimant that, barring exceptional circumstances, we will not again excuse a failure to call in a number where the Claimant could be reached.

DECISION:

The decision of the administrative law judge dated December 18, 2020 is not vacated and remains in force unless and until the Department makes a differing determination pursuant to this remand. This matter is remanded to an administrative law judge in the Workforce Development Center, Appeals Section. The administrative law judge shall conduct a hearing following due notice. After the hearing, the administrative law judge shall issue a decision which provides the parties appeal rights.

Ashley R. Koopmans

James M. Strohman

Myron R. Linn

AMG/fnv