IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

ERIKA R GONZALEZ

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 13A-UI-00629-MT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

ADVANCE SERVICES INC

Employer

OC: 12/09/12

Claimant: Appellant (2)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated January 10, 2013, reference 01, which held claimant ineligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After due notice, a hearing was scheduled for and held on February 19, 2013. Claimant participated and was represented by Andrea Buckley, Attorney at Law. Employer participated by Mike Payne, Loss Prevention Specialist. Exhibit One was admitted into evidence.

ISSUE:

The issue in this matter is whether claimant quit for good cause attributable to employer.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: Claimant last worked for employer on November 1, 2012. Claimant quit work November 1, 2012 due to a non work injury. Claimant fully recovered and reapplied for work effective December 11, 2012. Employer re-employed claimant December 13, 2012.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The administrative law judge holds that the evidence has established that claimant voluntarily quit for good cause attributable to employer when claimant terminated the employment relationship because of a non work injury. Since claimant returned to ask for her job back after full recovery she is qualified for benefits. Claimant has established eligibility for benefits.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1-d provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:

d. The individual left employment because of illness, injury or pregnancy upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician, and upon knowledge of the necessity for absence immediately notified the employer, or the employer consented to the absence, and after recovering from the illness, injury or pregnancy, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the individual returned to the employer and offered to perform services and the individual's regular work or comparable suitable work was not available, if so found by the department, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

DECISION:

| The | decision | of | the | representative | dated | January 10, | 2013, | reference 01 | , is | reversed. |
|------|----------|-------|-------|-----------------|----------|--------------|----------|---------------|--------|-----------|
| Uner | nploymen | t ins | suran | ce benefits are | allowed, | provided cla | imant is | otherwise eli | gible. | |

Marlon Mormann
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

mdm/css