## IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

SHANE D JENKINS Claimant

# APPEAL 22A-UI-01070-AD-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

CENTRAL IOWA KFC INC Employer

> OC: 04/05/20 Claimant: Appellant (2)

Iowa Code § 96.5(3)a – Failure to Accept Work Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Filing – Timely Appeal

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On November 15, 2021, Shane Jenkins (claimant/appellant) filed an appeal from the decision dated April 5, 2021 (reference 03) that disqualified claimant from benefits based on a finding claimant refused to apply for or accept suitable work.

A telephone hearing was held on February 3, 2022. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. Claimant participated personally. Central Iowa KFC Inc (employer/respondent) participated by Area Coach Marshall Brandt.

Employer's Exhibits 1-6 were admitted. Claimant's Exhibit A was admitted. Official notice was taken of the administrative record.

#### ISSUE(S):

- I. Is the appeal timely?
- II. Did the claimant refuse to apply for or accept an offer of suitable work?

### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds:

A decision was issued on January 12, 2021 (reference 02) that denied unemployment insurance benefits as of April 5, 2020 based on a finding claimant was still employed for the same hours and wages. That decision has now been affirmed. See 22A-UI-01069-AD-T.

The Unemployment Insurance Decision was mailed to claimant at the address 1348 E EUCLID AVE #239 DES MOINES IA 50316-1218 on April 5, 2021. That was not the correct mailing address for claimant at that time. Claimant updated his mailing address with the Department on or about that date but the decision was not sent to the correct mailing address. Claimant did not receive the decision and was unaware he had been denied benefits until he received overpayment decisions in November 2021. He appealed at that time.

# **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant's appeal was timely. The decision dated April 5, 2021 (reference 03) that disqualified claimant from benefits based on a finding claimant refused to apply for or accept suitable work is REVERSED.

lowa Code § 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part: "[u]nless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision."

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(1)(a) provides:

Except as otherwise provided by statute or by division rule, any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document submitted to the division shall be considered received by and filed with the division:
(a) If transmitted via the United States Postal Service on the date it is mailed as shown by the postmark, or in the absence of a postmark the postage meter mark on the envelope in which it is received; or if not postmarked or postage meter marked or if the mark is illegible, on the date entered on the document as the date of completion.

(b)

(c) If transmitted by any means other than [United States Postal Service or the State Identification Data Exchange System (SIDES)], on the date it is received by the division.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2) provides:

2. The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.

There is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and the Administrative Law Judge has no authority to change the decision of representative if a timely appeal is not filed. Franklin v. Iowa Dept. Job Service, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). The ten-day period for appealing an initial determination concerning a claim for benefits has been described as jurisdictional. Messina v. lowa Dept. of Job Service, 341 N.W.2d 52, 55 (Iowa 1983); Beardslee v. Iowa Dept. Job Service, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979). The only basis for changing the ten-day period would be where notice to the appealing party was constitutionally invalid. E.g. Beardslee v. Iowa Dept. Job Service, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (Iowa 1979). The question in such cases becomes whether the appellant was deprived of a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal in a timely fashion. Hendren v. lowa Employment Sec. Commission, 217 N.W.2d 255 (Iowa 1974); Smith v. Iowa Employment Sec. Commission, 212 N.W.2d 471 (Iowa 1973). The question of whether the Claimant has been denied a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal is also informed by rule 871-24.35(2) which states that "the submission of any ...appeal...not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service."

The record in this case shows that claimant never received the decision. Therefore, the appeal notice provisions were invalid and claimant did not have a reasonable opportunity to file a timely appeal. Claimant filed the appeal shortly after learning of the decision denying benefits. This is a good cause reason for delay and the administrative law judge therefore concludes the appeal is timely. Because the appeal is timely, the administrative law judge has jurisdiction to address the underlying issues.

Iowa Code § 96.5(3)a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

3. Failure to accept work. If the department finds that an individual has failed, without good cause, either to apply for available, suitable work when directed by the department or to accept suitable work when offered that individual. The department shall, if possible, furnish the individual with the names of employers which are seeking employees. The individual shall apply to and obtain the signatures of the employers designated by the department on forms provided by the department. However, the employers may refuse to sign the forms. The individual's failure to obtain the signatures of designated employers, which have not refused to sign the forms, shall disqualify the individual for benefits until requalified. To requalify for benefits after disqualification under this subsection, the individual shall work in and be paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

a. (1) In determining whether or not any work is suitable for an individual, the department shall consider the degree of risk involved to the individual's health, safety, and morals, the individual's physical fitness, prior training, length of unemployment, and prospects for securing local work in the individual's customary occupation, the distance of the available work from the individual's residence, and any other factor which the department finds bears a reasonable relation to the purposes of this paragraph. Work is suitable if the work meets all the other criteria of this paragraph and if the gross weekly wages for the work equal or exceed the following percentages of the individual's average weekly wage for insured work paid to the individual during that quarter of the individual's base period in which the individual's wages were highest:

(a) One hundred percent, if the work is offered during the first five weeks of unemployment.

(b) Seventy-five percent, if the work is offered during the sixth through the twelfth week of unemployment.

(c) Seventy percent, if the work is offered during the thirteenth through the eighteenth week of unemployment.

(d) Sixty-five percent, if the work is offered after the eighteenth week of unemployment.

(2) However, the provisions of this paragraph shall not require an individual to accept employment below the federal minimum wage.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.24(4) provides:

(4) Work refused when the claimant fails to meet the benefit eligibility conditions of Iowa Code section 96.4(3). Before a disqualification for failure to accept work may be imposed, an individual must first satisfy the benefit eligibility conditions of being able to work and available for work and not unemployed for failing to bump a fellow employee with less

seniority. If the facts indicate that the claimant was or is not available for work, and this resulted in the failure to accept work or apply for work, such claimant shall not be disqualified for refusal since the claimant is not available for work. In such a case it is the availability of the claimant that is to be tested. Lack of transportation, illness or health conditions, illness in family, and child care problems are generally considered to be good cause for refusing work or refusing to apply for work. However, the claimant's availability would be the issue to be determined in these types of cases.

The administrative law judge finds claimant did not refuse to apply for or accept an offer of suitable work with employer. He remained employed with employer in a part-time capacity throughout the relevant time period. As such, there is no disqualification imposed for failure to apply for or accept an offer of suitable work.

The administrative law judge notes claimant is still ineligible for benefits during the weeks filed based on the finding that he was still employed for the same hours and wages. See 22A-UI-01069-AD-T.

#### **DECISION:**

The administrative law judge concludes the claimant's appeal was timely. The decision dated April 5, 2021 (reference 03) that disqualified claimant from benefits based on a finding claimant refused to apply for or accept suitable work is REVERSED. Claimant did not refuse to apply for or accept an offer of suitable work and as such no disqualification is imposed on that basis.

and Nopplining

Andrew B. Duffelmeyer Administrative Law Judge Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209 Fax (515) 478-3528

February 22, 2022 Decision Dated and Mailed

abd/abd