BEFORE THE EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD Lucas State Office Building Fourth floor Des Moines, Iowa 50319

RITTANY KAUFMAN	: : : HEARING NUMBER : 09B-UI-02144
Claimant,	: :
and	EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD DECISION
FIVE STAR QUALITY CARE INC	:

Employer.

NOTICE

THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL unless (1) a request for a REHEARING is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within 20 days of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT IS FILED WITHIN 30 days of the date of the Board's decision.

A REHEARING REQUEST shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within 30 days of the date of the denial.

SECTION: 96.5-2-a

DECISION

UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE ALLOWED IF OTHERWISE ELIGIBLE

The employer appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. The members of the Employment Appeal Board, one member dissenting, reviewed the entire record. The Appeal Board finds the administrative law judge's decision is correct. The administrative law judge's Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law are adopted by the Board as its own. The administrative law judge's decision is AFFIRMED.

AMG/fnv

DISSENTING OPINION OF MONIQUE F. KUESTER:

I respectfully dissent from the majority decision of the Employment Appeal Board; I would reverse the decision of the administrative law judge. The claimant clearly violated the employer's work rule #46, which sets forth, "Employees may not sleep on the job." The employer had two witnesses to corroborate the employer's allegation. And even though the claimant, initially, denied sleeping when questioned, she later testified that it could have 'appeared' she was asleep due to her experiencing a migraine. (Tr. 11, lines 17-24) If the claimant was ill, she failed to inform the employer. Thus, I would conclude that based on her knowledge of the rule, and her partial admission, i.e., 'appeared' asleep, I would deny benefits.

Monique F. Kuester	

AMG/fnv