# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

AMY HENRY Claimant **APPEAL 20A-UI-14579-DZ-T** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

OC: 03/15/2020

Claimant: Appellant (1R)

Iowa Code § 96.3(7) – Recovery of Benefit Overpayment PL116-136, Sec. 2104(f)2 – Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation - Overpayment PL116-136, Sec. 2104 – Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Amy Henry, the claimant/appellant, appealed the October 30, 2020, (reference 03) unemployment insurance decision that concluded she was overpaid Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation (FPUC) benefits. Ms. Henry was properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was scheduled for January 13, 2021. No hearing was held as there was sufficient information in the administrative record to resolve the matter without testimony. Official notice was taken of the administrative record.

#### ISSUE:

Has Ms. Henry been overpaid FPUC benefits?

# **FINDINGS OF FACT:**

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Ms. Henry received FPUC benefits in the amount of \$6,600.00 for 11 weeks between March 29, 2020 and June 13 2020.

On June 17, 2020, Iowa Workforce Development issued a reference 01 decision denying Ms. Henry regular UI benefits. That decision was affirmed by the administrative law judge's decision dated August 17, 2020.

Ms. Henry was approved for Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA) benefits effective March 15, 2020.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

The administrative law judge concludes Ms. Henry has been overpaid FPUC benefits.

Iowa Code §96.3(7) provides, in pertinent part:

## 7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.

- a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.
- b. (1) (a) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

PL116-136, Sec. 2104 provides, in pertinent part:

- (b) Provisions of Agreement
- (1) Federal pandemic unemployment compensation.--Any agreement under this section shall provide that the State agency of the State will make payments of regular compensation to individuals in amounts and to the extent that they would be determined if the State law of the State were applied, with respect to any week for which the individual is (disregarding this section) otherwise entitled under the State law to receive regular compensation, as if such State law had been modified in a manner such that the amount of regular compensation (including dependents' allowances) payable for any week shall be equal to
- (A) the amount determined under the State law (before the application of this paragraph), plus
- (B) an additional amount of \$600 (in this section referred to as "Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation").
- (f) Fraud and Overpayments
- (2) Repayment.--In the case of individuals who have received amounts of Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation to which they were not entitled, the State shall require such individuals to repay the amounts of such Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation to the State agency...

Because Ms. Henry is disqualified from receiving regular UI benefits, she is also disqualified from receiving FPUC benefits. While lowa law does not require a claimant to repay regular UI benefits when the employer does not participate in the fact-finding interview, the CARES Act makes no such exception for the repayment of FPUC benefits. Therefore, the determination of whether Ms. Henry must repay FPUC does not hinge on the employer's participation in the fact-finding interview. The administrative law judge concludes that Ms. Henry has been overpaid

FPUC in the gross amount of \$6,600.00 for 11 weeks between March 29, 2020 and June 13 2020.

#### **DECISION:**

The October 30, 2020, (reference 03) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. Ms. Henry has been overpaid FPUC benefits in the amount of \$6,660.00.

## **REMAND:**

This matter is remanded to the Benefits Bureau of Iowa Workforce Development for a review of whether Ms. Henry is eligible for FPUC benefits based on her approval for PUA benefits.

Daniel Zeno

Administrative Law Judge Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau Iowa Workforce Development 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209

Fax 515-478-3528

January 29, 2021

**Decision Dated and Mailed** 

dz/kmj