

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

ELODIA LEDEZMA DE MEZA
Claimant

APPEAL NO: 13A-UI-08101-DT

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

AVENTURE STAFFING
Employer

OC: 09/26/10
Claimant: Appellant (2)

Section 96.3-7 - Recovery of Overpayment of Benefits

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Elodia Ledezma de Meza (claimant) appealed a representative's July 5, 2013 decision (reference 03) that concluded she had been overpaid unemployment insurance benefits. After a hearing notice was mailed to the claimant's last-known address of record, a telephone hearing was held on August 19, 2013. This appeal was consolidated for hearing with one related appeal, 13A-UI-08100-DT. The claimant participated in the hearing. Based on the evidence, the arguments of the claimant, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision reversing the representative's decision.

ISSUE:

Was the claimant overpaid unemployment insurance benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

A representative issued a decision dated December 8, 2011 (reference 02) that concluded the claimant was disqualified from receiving benefits after a separation from employment from Adventure Staffing (employer). The claimant timely appealed that decision and in the concurrently issued decision in appeal 13A-UI-08100-DT, that decision has been reversed.

The overpayment decision was issued in this case as a result of the December 8, 2011 (reference 02) disqualification decision.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue in this case is whether the claimant is overpaid benefits of \$1,904.00.

The unemployment insurance law provides that benefits must be recovered from a claimant who receives benefits and is later determined to be ineligible for benefits, even though the claimant acted in good faith and was not otherwise at fault. Iowa Code § 96.3-7. In this case, the claimant received benefits for which she subsequently was deemed ineligible in the representative's decision issued on December 8, 2011. The disqualification from that decision

has been reversed in the concurrently issued decision in 13A-UI-08100-DT. Therefore, the administrative law judge concludes that the claimant was not overpaid benefits.

DECISION:

The representative's July 5, 2013 decision (reference 03) is reversed. The claimant is not overpaid benefits.

Lynette A. F. Donner
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

ld/css