# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

**CHARLES J STUDHAM** 

Claimant

**APPEAL 22A-UI-00757-AW-T** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

NORDSTROM INC

Employer

OC: 10/31/21

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed an appeal from the December 2, 2021 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits finding claimant voluntarily quit on July 12, 2021 without good cause attributable to employer. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on January 31, 2022. Claimant participated. Employer did not participate. No exhibits were admitted.

## ISSUE:

Whether claimant's separation was a voluntary quit without good cause attributable to employer.

## **FINDINGS OF FACT:**

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds:

Claimant was employed as a full-time Returns Inspector from November or December of 2019 until his employment with Nordstrom ended in July 2021 when claimant quit due to his inability to get along with other employees and his supervisor. Claimant felt singled out by other employees because he was working harder and performing better than they were. This treatment was ongoing for over a year prior to claimant quitting. Claimant felt his coworkers were talking down to him and making fun of him. Claimant's coworkers did not use profanity, name call or threaten claimant; claimant describes them as "condescendingly friendly."

Claimant also believes his coworkers were undermining his work by removing claimant's items from the ticket list. This reduced claimant's productivity. Nevertheless, claimant was not disciplined for poor job performance. Employer had continuing work available for claimant. Claimant's job was not in jeopardy.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes:

lowa Code § 96.5(1) provides: An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, if the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

A voluntary quitting means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer and requires an intention to terminate the employment. *Wills v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 447 N.W. 2d 137, 138 (Iowa 1989). A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. *Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer*, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980); *Peck v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 492 N.W.2d 438 (Iowa Ct. App. 1992).

Claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.6(2). "Good cause" for leaving employment must be that which is reasonable to the average person, not the overly sensitive individual or the claimant in particular. *Uniweld Products v. Indus. Relations Comm'n*, 277 So.2d 827 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1973). The standard of what a reasonable person would have believed under the circumstances is applied in determining whether a claimant left work voluntarily with good cause attributable to the employer. *O'Brien v. Employment Appeal Bd.*, 494 N.W.2d 660 (Iowa 1993).

Where a claimant gives numerous reasons for leaving employment the agency is required to consider all stated reasons which might combine to give the claimant good cause to quit in determining any of those reasons constitute good cause attributable to the employer. Taylor v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv., 362 N.W.2d 534 (lowa 1985).

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(6), (21) and (22) provide:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

- (6) The claimant left as a result of an inability to work with other employees.
- (21) The claimant left because of dissatisfaction with the work environment.
- (22) The claimant left because of a personality conflict with the supervisor.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.26(4) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(4) The claimant left due to intolerable or detrimental working conditions.

It is the duty of the administrative law judge, as the trier of fact, to determine the credibility of witnesses, weigh the evidence and decide the facts in issue. Arndt v. City of LeClaire, 728 N.W.2d 389, 394-395 (Iowa 2007). The administrative law judge may believe all, part or none of any witness's testimony. State v. Holtz, 548 N.W.2d 162, 163 (Iowa App. 1996). In assessing the credibility of witnesses, the administrative law judge should consider the evidence using his or her own observations, common sense and experience. Id. In determining the facts, and deciding what testimony to believe, the fact finder may consider the following factors: whether the testimony is reasonable and consistent with other evidence you believe; whether a witness has made inconsistent statements; the witness's appearance, conduct, age, intelligence, memory and knowledge of the facts; and the witness's interest in the trial, their motive, candor, bias and prejudice. Id.

The findings of fact show how I have resolved the disputed factual issues in this case. I assessed the credibility of the witness who testified during the hearing, considering the applicable factors listed above, and using my own common sense and experience. I find claimant's testimony to lack credibility. Specifically, claimant's testimony was intentionally misleading regarding the manner of his separation. Claimant testified that he was discharged for absenteeism and did not quit; claimant later testified that he stopped showing up for work because he could no longer put up with "nonsense."

Claimant voluntarily quit his employment. Claimant provided multiple reasons for quitting his job. The administrative law judge has considered all of them and finds that none of them constitute good cause attributable to the employer. The examples claimant provided do not rise to the level of intolerable working conditions; the reasons claimant quit can best be described as an inability to work with his coworkers, dissatisfaction with the work environment and inability to get along with his supervisor. A reasonable person would not find this to be good cause to quit their job. Claimant has not met his burden of proving he voluntarily quit his employment for good cause attributable to employer. Benefits are denied.

## **DECISION:**

The December 2, 2021 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. Claimant voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to employer. Benefits are denied until claimant has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times claimant's weekly benefit amount, provided claimant is otherwise eligible.

Adrienne C. Williamson

Administrative Law Judge

Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau

Iowa Workforce Development

1000 East Grand Avenue

Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209

Fax (515)478-3528

February 24, 2022

**Decision Dated and Mailed** 

acw/ACW