IOWA DEPARTMENT OF INSPECTIONS AND APPEALS ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION, UI APPEALS BUREAU

STACY L DEMMER

Claimant

APPEAL 23A-UI-01540-PT-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

STONEHILL FRANCISCAN SERVICES

Employer

OC: 01/22/23

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated February 7, 2023, (reference 01) that held claimant ineligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After due notice, a hearing was scheduled for and held on March 2, 2023. Claimant participated personally. Employer participated through Director of Health Services Matt Jahn and Vice President of Human Resources Karla Waldbillig. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative record.

ISSUES:

Was the claimant discharged for disqualifying job-related misconduct?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: Claimant last worked for employer on January 17, 2023. Employer discharged claimant on January 17, 2023, due to insubordination and violation of employer's corporate compliance policy.

Claimant was employed as a full-time certified nursing assistant from December 15, 2016, until her employment with Stonehill Franciscan Services ended on January 17, 2023. As a certified nursing assistant, claimant was responsible for assisting residents with their activities of daily living.

Pursuant to employer's staffing policy, employees' schedules were posted in six-week rotations. However, when the number of residents in the facility was low and fewer staff were needed, periods known as "low census," employer would reduce the shifts of employees who were working overtime or who were on track to work overtime during the pay period. "Low census" is determined from shift to shift. Claimant was familiar with employer's staffing policy and procedures.

On January 16, 2023, claimant was scheduled to work from 6:00 a.m. to 6:30 p.m. However, because of a low number of residents in the facility and because claimant was scheduled to

work overtime by the end of the pay period, claimant's schedule changed to 6:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m.

At 3:15 p.m. the charge nurse on claimant's unit informed claimant that her shift would be ending at 3:30 p.m. due to low census. When claimant received the news, she became upset that her hours had been reduced because she was not yet in overtime status. Claimant told the charge nurse, "I'm not leaving, I need the hours." Claimant continued working until 6:40 p.m.

The next day, January 17, 2023, claimant's supervisor learned that claimant had worked past her scheduled shift. When claimant's supervisor asked claimant why she had not left as scheduled, claimant lied and told her supervisor that she did not learn until 5:00 p.m. that her shift had ended at 3:30 p.m. Claimant said that because there was only one hour left on her shift at that point, that it made no difference if she worked the last hour.

At approximately 11:15 a.m. on January 17, 2023, claimant was told that her shift would be ending at noon because she was "low census." Claimant was upset that her shift was being reduced and that she had not been able to take her break, so at noon, claimant went and sat in the breakroom for one hour. Claimant did not clock out until 1:03 p.m.

Employer investigated these incidents by reviewing timecards and interviewing staff. Employer then contacted claimant and informed her of its findings. Claimant admitted to having knowingly worked past the end of her shifts. Claimant was aware that her conduct was in violation of employer's work rules and could result in discipline up to and including termination. Later that day, employer contacted claimant and informed her that her employment was being terminated effective immediately due to misrepresentation and insubordination.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment due to job-related misconduct.

Iowa Code section 96.5(2)a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The disqualification shall continue until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

- (1) Definition.
- a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the

disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

This definition has been accepted by the Iowa Supreme Court as accurately reflecting the intent of the legislature. *Huntoon v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 275 N.W.2d 445, 448 (Iowa 1979). Further, the employer has the burden of proof in establishing disqualifying job misconduct. *Cosper v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 321 N.W.2d 6 (Iowa 1982).

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(4) provides:

(4) Report required. The claimant's statement and employer's statement must give detailed facts as to the specific reason for the claimant's discharge. Allegations of misconduct or dishonesty without additional evidence shall not be sufficient to result in disqualification. If the employer is unwilling to furnish available evidence to corroborate the allegation, misconduct cannot be established. In cases where a suspension or disciplinary layoff exists, the claimant is considered as discharged, and the issue of misconduct shall be resolved.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(8) provides:

(8) Past acts of misconduct. While past acts and warnings can be used to determine the magnitude of a current act of misconduct, a discharge for misconduct cannot be based on such past act or acts. The termination of employment must be based on a current act.

A determination as to whether an employee's act is misconduct does not rest solely on the interpretation or application of the employer's policy or rule. A violation is not necessarily disqualifying misconduct even if the employer was fully within its rights to impose discipline up to or including discharge for the incident under its policy. The issue is not whether the employer made a correct decision in separating claimant, but whether the claimant is entitled to unemployment insurance benefits. Infante v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 364 N.W.2d 262 (Iowa Ct. App. 1984). What constitutes misconduct justifying termination of an employee and what misconduct warrants denial of unemployment insurance benefits are two separate decisions. Pierce v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv., 425 N.W.2d 679 (lowa Ct. App. 1988). Misconduct serious enough to warrant discharge is not necessarily serious enough to warrant a denial of job insurance benefits. Such misconduct must be "substantial." Newman v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv., 351 N.W.2d 806 (lowa Ct. App. 1984). The focus is on deliberate, intentional, or culpable acts by the employee. The gravity of the incident, number of policy violations and prior warnings are factors considered when analyzing misconduct. Disqualification for a single misconduct incident must be a deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which employer has a right to expect. Diggs v. Emp't Appeal Bd., 478 N.W.2d 432 (lowa Ct. App. 1991).

Insubordination does not equal misconduct if it is reasonable under the circumstances. The question of whether the refusal to perform a specific task constitutes misconduct must be determined by evaluating both the reasonableness of the employer's request in light of all circumstances and the employee's reason for noncompliance. *Endicott v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.* 367 N.W.2d 300 (Iowa Ct. App. 1985). An employee's failure to perform a specific task may not constitute misconduct if such failure is in good faith or for good cause. *Woods v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 327 N.W.2d 768, 771 (Iowa 1982). Generally, continued refusal to follow reasonable instructions constitutes misconduct. *Gilliam v. Atlantic Bottling Co.*, 453 N.W.2d 230 (Iowa Ct. App. 1990).

On both January 16 and January 17, 2023, employer instructed claimant that her shift would be ending early due to reduced staffing needs and the number of hours claimant was scheduled to work during the pay period. Employer's instruction was reasonable, as it is the employer's responsibility to ensure the facility is appropriately staffed. On both occasions, claimant refused to comply with the employer's instruction and worked past the end of her shift because claimant wanted the hours. Claimant's refusal to follow employer's reasonable instructions was not in good faith or for good cause and is evidence of deliberate conduct in violation of company policy, procedure, or prior warning. Claimant was discharged for disqualifying, job-related misconduct. Benefits are denied.

DECISION:

The February 7, 2023, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. The claimant was discharged for disqualifying, job-related misconduct. Unemployment insurance benefits shall be withheld until claimant has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times claimant's weekly benefit amount, provided claimant is otherwise eligible.

Patrick B. Thomas

Administrative Law Judge

March 7, 2023

Decision Dated and Mailed

pbt/mh

APPEAL RIGHTS. If you disagree with the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

Employment Appeal Board 4th Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191 Online: eab.iowa.gov

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

- 1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.
- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at Iowa Code §17A.19, which is online at https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Note to Parties: YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

Note to Claimant: It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

SERVICE INFORMATION:

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN. Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

Employment Appeal Board 4th Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191 En línea: eab.iowa.gov

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

- 1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.
- 2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
- 3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.
- 4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de Iowa §17A.19, que se encuentra en línea en https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf o comunicándose con el Tribunal de Distrito Secretario del tribunal https:///www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Nota para las partes: USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

Nota para el reclamante: es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.