# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

NEVIS C PAUSTIAN

Claimant

**APPEAL 15A-UI-08897-H2T** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

IOWA WORKFORCE
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

OC: 07/26/15

Claimant: Appellant (2)

871 IAC 24.2(1)a & h(1) & (2) - Backdating

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from the August 6, 2015, (reference 01), unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a telephone conference hearing was held on August 28, 2015. Claimant participated.

### ISSUE:

The issue is whether claimant can backdate the claim prior to July 26, 2015.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant filed a claim for benefits with an effective date of July 26, 2015 and desires to backdate the claim to July 19, 2015. Claimant delayed filing the claim because he was given inaccurate or incomplete information by his employer. In the past the claimant's employer had always filed the initial claims for employees on short-term layoffs. They changed their practices but did not notify employees how to file. The claimant was paid no wages for the week ending July 25, 2015.

#### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant's request to backdate the claim is granted.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.2(1)h(1), (2) and (3) provide:

Procedures for workers desiring to file a claim for benefits for unemployment insurance.

(1) § 96.6 of the employment security law of lowa states that claims for benefits shall be made in accordance with such rules as the department prescribes. The department of workforce development accordingly prescribes:

- h. Effective starting date for the benefit year.
- (1) Filing for benefits shall be effective as of Sunday of the current calendar week in which, subsequent to the individual's separation from work, an individual reports in person at a workforce development center and registers for work in accordance with paragraph "a" of this rule.
- (2) The claim may be backdated prior to the first day of the calendar week in which the claimant does report and file a claim for the following reasons:

Backdated prior to the week in which the individual reported if the individual presents to the department sufficient grounds to justify or excuse the delay;

There is scheduled filing in the following week because of a mass layoff;

The failure of the department to recognize the expiration of the claimant's previous benefit year;

The individual is given incorrect advice by a workforce development employee;

The claimant filed an interstate claim against another state which has been determined as ineligible;

Failure on the part of the employer to comply with the provisions of the law or of these rules:

Coercion or intimidation exercised by the employer to prevent the prompt filing of such claim;

Failure of the department to discharge its responsibilities promptly in connection with such claim, the department shall extend the period during which such claim may be filed to a date which shall be not less than one week after the individual has received appropriate notice of potential rights to benefits, provided, that no such claim may be filed after the 13 weeks subsequent to the end of the benefit year during which the week of unemployment occurred. In the event continuous jurisdiction is exercised under the provisions of the law, the department may, in its discretion, extend the period during which claims, with respect to week of unemployment affected by such redetermination, may be filed.

(3) When the benefit year expires on any day but Saturday, the effective date of the new claim is the Sunday of the current week in which the claim is filed even though it may overlap into the old benefit year up to six days. However, backdating shall not be allowed at the change of the calendar quarter if the backdating would cause an overlap of the same quarter in two base periods. When the overlap situation occurs, the effective date of the new claim may be postdated up to six days. If the claimant has benefits remaining on the old claim, the claimant may be eligible for benefits for that period by extending the old benefit year up to six days.

Being given incomplete or inaccurate information by the employer is considered a good-cause reason for having failed to file a claim during the first week of unemployment. Backdating is

Appeal No.15A-UI-08897-H2T

granted. The claimant's claim for benefits shall be backdated to July 19, 2015 and he shall be paid full unemployment insurance benefits for the week ending July 25, 2015.

# **DECISION:**

The August 6, 2015 (reference 01) decision is reversed.	The claimant's request to backdate
the claim is granted.	

Teresa K. Hillary Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

tkh/css