IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

JUDITH E TRUEBLOOD

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 09A-UI-08260-NT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

KEVCON CORPORATION

Employer

OC: 04/12/09

Claimant: Respondent (2-R)

Section 96.5-1-d – Voluntary Leaving/Illness or Injury 871 IAC 24.25(35) – Separation due to Illness or Injury

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from a representative's decision dated June 3, 2009, reference 01, which held claimant eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits based upon her separation from Kevcon Corporation. After due notice, a telephone hearing was scheduled for and held on June 24, 2009. Claimant participated personally. The employer participated by Mr. Dave Wilson, Company President.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant voluntarily left her employment for reasons attributable to the employer because of a non-work-related illness or injury.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony of the witnesses and having considered the evidence in the record, finds: The claimant was employed as a part-time sewer for the captioned company from May 15, 1995 until March 6, 2009, when she was unable to continue working due a non-work-related medical condition. Ms. Trueblood had suffered a seizure and pursuant to medical advice, was deemed unable to continue to work in the industrial setting. Ms. Trueblood has not yet received a full medical release from the treating physician.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant is temporarily separated from her employment without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1-d provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:
- d. The individual left employment because of illness, injury or pregnancy upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician, and upon knowledge of the necessity for absence immediately notified the employer, or the employer consented to the absence, and after recovering from the illness, injury or pregnancy, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the individual returned to the employer and offered to perform services and the individual's regular work or comparable suitable work was not available, if so found by the department, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.25(35) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

- (35) The claimant left because of illness or injury which was not caused or aggravated by the employment or pregnancy and failed to:
- (a) Obtain the advice of a licensed and practicing physician;
- (b) Obtain certification of release for work from a licensed and practicing physician;
- (c) Return to the employer and offer services upon recovery and certification for work by a licensed and practicing physician; or
- (d) Fully recover so that the claimant could perform all of the duties of the job.

The evidence in the record establishes that the claimant left employment due to a non-work-related illness or medical condition. The claimant has not been released to return to full work duties and the employer is not obligated to accommodate a non-work related medical condition. Accordingly, the separation is without good cause attributable to the employer and benefits must be denied.

Iowa Code section 96.3-7, as amended in 2008, provides:

- 7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.
- a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

- b. (1) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment. The employer shall not be charged with the benefits.
- (2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

DECISION:

The June 3, 2009, reference 01, decision is reversed. Claimant's separation was without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as the claimant works in and been paid wages equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, providing that she is otherwise eligible or until such time as the claimant obtains a full release without restriction to return to regular duties, offers service to the employer and the employer has no comparable or suitable work available. The issue of whether the claimant must repay the unemployment benefits is remanded to UIS Division for determination.

Terence P. Nice
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

srs/css