IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

MARIA C CALDERON RODRIGUEZ

Claimant

APPEAL 21A-UI-20792-AD-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

PAYROLL TAX

Employer

OC: 05/02/21

Claimant: Appellant (2R)

Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Filing – Timely Appeal Iowa Code § 96.1A(37) – Total, partial unemployment Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Eligibility – A&A – Able to, available for, work search

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On September 22, 2021, Maria Calderon Rodriguez (claimant/appellant) filed an appeal from the decision dated August 3, 2021, (reference 03) that denied unemployment insurance benefits as of May 2, 2021 based on a finding claimant was still employed for the same hours and wages as in the contract of hire.

A telephone hearing was held on November 12, 2021. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. Claimant participated personally and with the assistance of a Spanish interpreter. Payroll Tax (employer/respondent) participated by HR Assistant Manager Katie Cook.

Official notice was taken of the administrative record.

ISSUE(S):

- I. Is the appeal timely?
- II. Is the claimant totally, partially, or temporarily unemployed?
- III. Is the claimant able to and available for work?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds:

Claimant began working for employer on May 1, 2018. The last day claimant was present and performing work was April 30, 2021. Claimant did not return to work after that date because her supervisor told her she had been laid off. Claimant was not contacted to return to work after that time. Claimant filed a claim for benefits each week from the benefit week ending May 8, 2021 through the benefit week ending October 23, 2021, except for the benefit weeks ending August 21 and 28, 2021. Claimant was able and available for work and searching for work during those weeks.

The Unemployment Insurance Decision was mailed to claimant at the above address on August 3, 2021. That was claimant's correct address at that time. Claimant did not receive the decision in a timely manner. She appealed shortly after receiving it.

The issue of whether claimant's separation from employment was disqualifying has not yet been the subject of a fact-finding interview and decision.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant's appeal was timely. The decision dated August 3, 2021, (reference 03) that denied unemployment insurance benefits as of May 2, 2021 based on a finding claimant was still employed for the same hours and wages as in the contract of hire is REVERSED. Claimant is eligible for benefits during the weeks filed.

lowa Code § 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part: "[u]nless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision."

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(1)(a) provides:

- 1. Except as otherwise provided by statute or by division rule, any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document submitted to the division shall be considered received by and filed with the division:
- (a) If transmitted via the United States Postal Service on the date it is mailed as shown by the postmark, or in the absence of a postmark the postage meter mark on the envelope in which it is received; or if not postmarked or postage meter marked or if the mark is illegible, on the date entered on the document as the date of completion.

(h)

(c) If transmitted by any means other than [United States Postal Service or the State Identification Data Exchange System (SIDES)], on the date it is received by the division.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2) provides:

2. The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.

There is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and the Administrative Law Judge has no authority to change the decision of representative if a timely appeal is not filed. *Franklin v. Iowa Dept. Job Service*, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). The ten-day period for appealing an initial determination concerning a claim for benefits has been described as jurisdictional. *Messina v. Iowa Dept. of Job Service*, 341 N.W.2d 52, 55 (Iowa 1983); *Beardslee v. Iowa Dept. Job Service*, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979). The only basis for changing the ten-day period would be where notice to the appealing party was constitutionally invalid. *E.g. Beardslee v. Iowa Dept. Job* Service, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (Iowa 1979). The question in such cases becomes whether the appellant was deprived of a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal in a timely fashion. *Hendren v. Iowa Employment Sec.*

Commission, 217 N.W.2d 255 (lowa 1974); Smith v. Iowa Employment Sec. Commission, 212 N.W.2d 471 (lowa 1973). The question of whether the Claimant has been denied a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal is also informed by rule 871-24.35(2) which states that "the submission of any …appeal…not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service."

The record in this case shows that claimant did not receive the decision in a timely manner. Therefore, the appeal notice provisions were invalid and claimant did not have a reasonable opportunity to file a timely appeal. Claimant filed the appeal shortly after learning of the decision denying benefits. This is a good cause reason for delay and the administrative law judge therefore concludes the appeal is timely. Because the appeal is timely, the administrative law judge has jurisdiction to address the underlying issues.

Iowa Code section 96.4(3) provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph (1), or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

Iowa Code section 96.1A(37) provides:

"Total and partial unemployment".

- a. An individual shall be deemed "totally unemployed" in any week with respect to which no wages are payable to the individual and during which the individual performs no services.
- b. An individual shall be deemed partially unemployed in any week in which either of the following apply:
- (1) While employed at the individual's then regular job, the individual works less than the regular full-time week and in which the individual earns less than the individual's weekly benefit amount plus fifteen dollars.
- (2) The individual, having been separated from the individual's regular job, earns at odd jobs less than the individual's weekly benefit amount plus fifteen dollars.
- c. An individual shall be deemed temporarily unemployed if for a period, verified by the department, not to exceed four consecutive weeks, the individual is unemployed due to a plant shutdown, vacation, inventory, lack of work or emergency from the individual's regular job or trade in which the individual worked full-time and will again work full-time, if the individual's employment, although temporarily suspended, has not been terminated.

The administrative law judge finds the claimant was totally unemployed and able and available for work in the weeks filed. She is therefore eligible for benefits in those weeks. However, this matter must be remanded for a determination as to whether the separation from employment was disqualifying.

DECISION:

The administrative law judge concludes the claimant's appeal was timely. The decision dated August 3, 2021, (reference 03) that denied unemployment insurance benefits as of May 2, 2021 based on a finding claimant was still employed for the same hours and wages as in the contract of hire is REVERSED. Claimant is eligible for benefits during the weeks filed.

REMAND:

This matter is remanded to the Benefits Bureau for a fact-finding interview and decision on the issue of claimant's separation from employment.

Andrew B. Duffelmeyer

Administrative Law Judge

Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau

any Hopelmeyer

1000 East Grand Avenue

Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209

Fax (515) 478-3528

December 14, 2021

Decision Dated and Mailed

abd/mh

Note to Claimant:

If you disagree with this decision, you may file an appeal with the Employment Appeal Board by following the instructions on the first page of this decision. If this decision denies benefits, you may be responsible for paying back benefits already received.

Individuals who are disqualified from or are otherwise ineligible for <u>regular</u> unemployment insurance benefits but who are unemployed for reasons related to COVID-19 may qualify for Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA). **You will need to apply for PUA to determine your eligibility.** Additional information on how to apply for PUA can be found at https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/pua-information.