

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section  
1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319  
DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

RANDAL D CHEVALIER  
PO BOX 782  
CASCADE IA 52033-0782

LA LEASING INC  
SEDONA STAFFING  
612 VALLEY DR  
MOLINE IL 61265

Appeal Number: 06A-UI-03833-HT  
OC: 12/25/05 R: 03  
Claimant: Appellant (2-R)

**This Decision Shall Become Final**, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the **Employment Appeal Board, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319**.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

---

(Administrative Law Judge)

---

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.5(3)a – Refusal of Work

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant, Randal Chevalier, filed an appeal from a decision dated March 31, 2006, reference 06. The decision disqualified him from receiving unemployment benefits. After due notice was issued a hearing was held by telephone conference call on April 25, 2006. The claimant participated on his own behalf. The employer, Sedona Staffing, participated by Unemployment Benefits Coordinator and Account Manager Matt Timmerman.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having heard the testimony of the witnesses and having examined all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Randal Chevalier filed a claim for unemployment

benefits with an effective date of December 25, 2005. His average weekly wage during his base period is \$387.46.

On March 9, 2006, an account manager from Sedona Staffing contacted the claimant for a job opening at AMI for \$7.00 per hour. It was full time for an indefinite period. The claimant declined because it did not pay enough.

Another job offer was made to the claimant on March 8, 2006, which he also declined. This refusal has not been adjudicated.

#### REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue is whether the claimant is disqualified. The judge concludes he is not.

Iowa Code Section 96.5-3-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

3. Failure to accept work. If the department finds that an individual has failed, without good cause, either to apply for available, suitable work when directed by the department or to accept suitable work when offered that individual. The department shall, if possible, furnish the individual with the names of employers which are seeking employees. The individual shall apply to and obtain the signatures of the employers designated by the department on forms provided by the department. However, the employers may refuse to sign the forms. The individual's failure to obtain the signatures of designated employers, which have not refused to sign the forms, shall disqualify the individual for benefits until requalified. To requalify for benefits after disqualification under this subsection, the individual shall work in and be paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

a. In determining whether or not any work is suitable for an individual, the department shall consider the degree of risk involved to the individual's health, safety, and morals, the individual's physical fitness, prior training, length of unemployment, and prospects for securing local work in the individual's customary occupation, the distance of the available work from the individual's residence, and any other factor which the department finds bears a reasonable relation to the purposes of this paragraph. Work is suitable if the work meets all the other criteria of this paragraph and if the gross weekly wages for the work equal or exceed the following percentages of the individual's average weekly wage for insured work paid to the individual during that quarter of the individual's base period in which the individual's wages were highest:

(1) One hundred percent, if the work is offered during the first five weeks of unemployment.

(2) Seventy-five percent, if the work is offered during the sixth through the twelfth week of unemployment.

(3) Seventy percent, if the work is offered during the thirteenth through the eighteenth week of unemployment.

(4) Sixty-five percent, if the work is offered after the eighteenth week of unemployment.

However, the provisions of this paragraph shall not require an individual to accept employment below the federal minimum wage.

This job offer was made in the eleventh week of Mr. Chevalier's unemployment claim. The wage would have been \$280.00 per week which was less than 75 percent of his average weekly wage. Under the provisions of the above Code section the work is not considered to be suitable.

The matter of the refusal of work done on March 8, 2006, should be remanded for determination.

**DECISION:**

The representative's decision of March 31, 2006, reference 06, is reversed. Randal Chevalier is qualified for benefits provided he is otherwise eligible.

The issue of the refusal of work on March 8, 2006, is remanded to the Claims Section for determination.

bgh/kjf