IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

MARCUS CARTER

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 15A-UI-08415-TN-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

QPS EMPLOYMENT GROUP INC

Employer

OC: 06/21/15

Claimant: Respondent (2)

Section 96.5-1-j – Timely Notification of Availability to Temporary Employment Service

Section 96.5-1 - Voluntary Quit

Section 96.3-7 – Benefit Overpayment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

QPS Employment Group, Inc. filed a timely appeal from a representative's decision dated July 15, 2015, reference 01, which held claimant eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits finding that the claimant was employed on a temporary basis and worked until June 8, 2015 but had good cause not to notify the temporary employment firm within three working days after his last work assignment.

ISSUE:

Did claimant establish his availability to temporary employment service? Is claimant overpaid unemployment insurance benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having considered all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Marcus Carter began employment with QPS Employment Group, Inc. in September 2014. At the time Mr. Carter began his employment with the temporary employment service he signed an agreement to contact the temporary employment firm within three working days after the completion of each work assignment to establish his availability for additional assignments.

Mr. Carter began an assignment with QPS Employment Group, Inc. at the client employer location, Graystone Manufacturing, on April 1, 2015. Mr. Carter worked as a full-time assembly worker at that job assignment and was paid by the hour. The claimant's job assignment at Graystone Manufacturing came to an end on June 8, 2015 because the assignment had been completed. Although Mr. Carter had previously signed a written agreement to contact the temporary service within three working days after the completion of each work assignment, he did not do so.

Nick Selby, the facility manager, testified that Mr. Carter had been informed of his responsibility to contact the temporary firm within three working days and did not do so. Mr. Selby testified that the company manager again informed Mr. Carter until June 23, 2015, well after the three-day period had passed. The employer verified that it was the employer's expectation that Mr. Carter would contact them and that he had agreed to do so but did not establish his availability for another assignment with the company for an extended period.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left employment without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code § 96.5(1)j provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department, but the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:
- j. The individual is a temporary employee of a temporary employment firm who notifies the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment and who seeks reassignment. Failure of the individual to notify the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment within three working days of the completion of each employment assignment under a contract of hire shall be deemed a voluntary quit unless the individual was not advised in writing of the duty to notify the temporary employment firm upon completion of an employment assignment or the individual had good cause for not contacting the temporary employment firm within three working days and notified the firm at the first reasonable opportunity thereafter.

To show that the employee was advised in writing of the notification requirement of this paragraph, the temporary employment firm shall advise the temporary employee by requiring the temporary employee, at the time of employment with the temporary employment firm, to read and sign a document that provides a clear and concise explanation of the notification requirement and the consequences of a failure to notify. The document shall be separate from any contract of employment and a copy of the signed document shall be provided to the temporary employee.

For the purposes of this paragraph:

- (1) "Temporary employee" means an individual who is employed by a temporary employment firm to provide services to clients to supplement their work force during absences, seasonal workloads, temporary skill or labor market shortages, and for special assignments and projects.
- (2) "Temporary employment firm" means a person engaged in the business of employing temporary employees.

The purpose of the statute is to provide notice to the temporary agency employer that the claimant is available for work at the conclusion of each temporary assignment so the employee may be re-assigned and continue working. In this case, the claimant gave the employer no

notice of his availability within three working days although he had agreed to do so by the agreement that he signed with the company at the time he was hired. Claimant is, therefore, considered to have quit the employment even though the claimant may have subsequently returned to work for the temporary agency at some later date. There being no evidence to the contrary in the record, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant agreed to contact the temporary agency employer within three working days after the completion of each work assignment but failed to contact the temporary agency employer within three working days of the completion of his last work assignment. Claimant is, therefore, considered under the provisions of the law to have voluntarily quit his employment for reasons that are not attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied until the claimant has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount and meets all other eligibility requirements of lowa law.

Because the claimant has been deemed ineligible for benefits, any benefits the claimant has received could constitute an overpayment. A review of the administrative record reflects that the claimant has received unemployment benefits in the amount of \$2,403.00 since filing a claim with an effective date of June 21, 2015 for the week ending dates June 27, 2015 through August 22, 2015. The administrative record also establishes that the employer did participate in the fact-finding interview or make a firsthand witness available for rebuttal.

Iowa Code § 96.3-7, as amended in 2008, provides:

- 7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.
- a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.
- b. (1) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment. The employer shall not be charged with the benefits.
- (2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 provides:

Employer and employer representative participation in fact-finding interviews.

- (1) "Participate," as the term is used for employers in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, means submitting detailed factual information of the quantity and quality that if unrebutted would be sufficient to result in a decision favorable to the employer. The most effective means to participate is to provide live testimony at the interview from a witness with firsthand knowledge of the events leading to the separation. If no live testimony is provided, the employer must provide the name and telephone number of an employee with firsthand information who may be contacted, if necessary, for rebuttal. A party may also participate by providing detailed written statements or documents that provide detailed factual information of the events leading to separation. At a minimum, the information provided by the employer or the employer's representative must identify the dates and particular circumstances of the incident or incidents, including, in the case of discharge, the act or omissions of the claimant or, in the event of a voluntary separation, the stated reason for the quit. The specific rule or policy must be submitted if the claimant was discharged for violating such rule or policy. In the case of discharge for attendance violations, the information must include the circumstances of all incidents the employer or the employer's representative contends meet the definition of unexcused absences as set forth in 871—subrule 24.32(7). On the other hand, written or oral statements or general conclusions without supporting detailed factual information and information submitted after the fact-finding decision has been issued are not considered participation within the meaning of the statute.
- (2) "A continuous pattern of nonparticipation in the initial determination to award benefits," pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, as the term is used for an entity representing employers, means on 25 or more occasions in a calendar quarter beginning with the first calendar quarter of 2009, the entity files appeals after failing to participate. Appeals filed but withdrawn before the day of the contested case hearing will not be considered in determining if a continuous pattern of nonparticipation exists. The division administrator shall notify the employer's representative in writing after each such appeal.
- (3) If the division administrator finds that an entity representing employers as defined in lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, has engaged in a continuous pattern of nonparticipation, the division administrator shall suspend said representative for a period of up to six months on the first occasion, up to one year on the second occasion and up to ten years on the third or subsequent occasion. Suspension by the division administrator constitutes final agency action and may be appealed pursuant to lowa Code section 17A.19.
- (4) "Fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual," as the term is used for claimants in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, means providing knowingly false statements or knowingly false denials of material facts for the purpose of obtaining unemployment insurance benefits. Statements or denials may be either oral or written by the claimant. Inadvertent misstatements or mistakes made in good faith are not considered fraud or willful misrepresentation.

This rule is intended to implement Iowa Code section 96.3(7)"b" as amended by 2008 Iowa Acts, Senate File 2160.

Because the claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to which he was not entitled. The unemployment insurance law provides that benefits must be recovered from a claimant who receives benefits and is later determined to be ineligible for benefits even though the claimant acted in good faith and was not otherwise at fault. However the overpayment will not be recovered when it is based on a reversal on appeal of an initial determination to award benefits on an issue regarding the claimant's employment separation if: (1) the benefits were not received due to any fraud or willful misrepresentation by the claimant, and (2) the employer did not participate in the initial proceeding to award benefits. The employer will not be charged for benefits if it is determined they did participate in the fact-finding interview. Iowa Code section 96.3-7. In this case, the claimant has received benefits but was not eligible for those benefits. Since the employer did participate in the fact-finding interview the claimant is obligated to repay to the agency the benefits he received and the employer's account shall not be charged.

DECISION:

pjs/pjs

The representative's decision dated July 15, 2015, reference 01, is reversed. Claimant left employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Unemployment insurance benefits are withheld until the claimant has worked and earned wages in insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount and is otherwise eligible. Claimant has been overpaid unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$2,403.00 and is liable to repay this amount. The employer's account shall not be charged because the employer participated in the fact-finding interview.

Terence P. Nice
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed