### IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

BONNIE I POWERS Claimant

# APPEAL NO. 11A-UI-06999-MT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

> OC: 04/03/11 Claimant: Appellant (2)

Section 96.5-5-c – Periodic Pension Payments

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant appealed a representative's decision dated May 16, 2011, reference 07, that concluded claimant was ineligible for the period ending April 1, 2012 for unemployment insurance benefits. A telephone hearing was scheduled and held on September 29, 2011 pursuant to due notice. Claimant did participate. Exhibits One and A were admitted into evidence.

#### **ISSUE:**

The issue is whether pension pay was deducted for the correct period and amount.

### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant's employment with employer was separated on July 1, 2010, when claimant retired. Claimant received a lump sum IPERS pension payout in the amount of \$185,430.27, of which 60 percent was contributed by employer. Claimant was paid out July 13, 2010. The Department prorated that amount over a period of 120.44 weeks, which disqualified claimant from the receipt of unemployment effective April 3, 2011, because the last employer was still a base period employer. Claimant did not receive any periodic payments from the IPERS pension plan.

#### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes that pension lump sum pay was not deducted for the correct period.

Iowa Code section 96.5-5 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

5. Other compensation. For any week with respect to which the individual is receiving or has received payment in the form of any of the following:

a. Wages in lieu of notice, separation allowance, severance pay, or dismissal pay.

b. Compensation for temporary disability under the workers' compensation law of any state or under a similar law of the United States.

c. A governmental or other pension, retirement or retired pay, annuity, or any other similar periodic payment made under a plan maintained or contributed to by a base period or chargeable employer where, except for benefits under the federal Social Security Act or the federal Railroad Retirement Act of 1974 or the corresponding provisions of prior law, the plan's eligibility requirements or benefit payments are affected by the base period employment or the remuneration for the base period employment. However, if an individual's benefits are reduced due to the receipt of a payment under this paragraph, the reduction shall be decreased by the same percentage as the percentage contribution of the individual to the plan under which the payment is made.

Provided, that if the remuneration is less than the benefits which would otherwise be due under this chapter, the individual is entitled to receive for the week, if otherwise eligible, benefits reduced by the amount of the remuneration. Provided further, if benefits were paid for any week under this chapter for a period when benefits, remuneration or compensation under paragraph "a", "b", or "c", were paid on a retroactive basis for the same period, or any part thereof, the department shall recover the excess amount of benefits paid by the department for the period, and no employer's account shall be charged with benefits so paid. However, compensation for service-connected disabilities or compensation for accrued leave based on military service, by the beneficiary, with the armed forces of the United States, irrespective of the amount of the benefit, does not disqualify any individual, otherwise qualified, from any of the benefits payable for a week for individuals receiving federal social security pensions to take into account the individuals' contributions to the pension program.

Because claimant did not receive any periodic payment from a pension plan, the entire amount was not correctly deducted from the 120.44 week of benefits following the separation. This is not a deductible payment, since it came as a lump sum return of a pension. Absent use of periodic payments, this is not deductible.

# **DECISION:**

The May 16, 2011, reference 07, decision is reversed. The lump sum pension pay was not deducted for the correct period. Claimant is allowed benefits effective April 3, 2011 through April 1, 2012, provided all other eligibility requirements have been met.

Marlon Mormann Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed