IOWA DEPARTMENT OF INSPECTIONS AND APPEALS ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION, UI APPEALS BUREAU

ROSS HILTON

Claimant

APPEAL 22A-UI-17562-AR-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

ALLSTATE INSURANCE CO

Employer

OC: 08/28/22

Claimant: Appellant (2R)

lowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct lowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On September 29, 2022, the claimant filed an appeal from the September 22, 2022, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based on the determination that claimant voluntarily quit employment without a showing of good cause. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on October 25, 2022. Claimant, Ross Hilton, participated personally. Employer, Allstate Insurance Co., participated through testifying witnesses Lacey Reid and Blake Holman. Employer's Exhibit 1 was admitted.

ISSUE:

Did the claimant voluntarily quit employment without good cause attributable to the employer, or was the claimant discharged for disqualifying job-related misconduct?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant began working for employer on December 6, 2021. Claimant last worked as a full-time claims adjuster analyst 3. Claimant was separated from employment on August 16, 2022, when he was discharged.

In the final months of his employment, claimant was experiencing significant health issues. These caused claimant to be absent frequently. Claimant last worked, according to the employer's records, on August 1, 2022. Claimant asserts he also worked on August 10 and 11, 2022, but the employer's records apparently do not reflect this.

On August 2, 2022, claimant began an absence related to a gallbladder impairment. He expected to be back the following week. On August 3, 2022, Holman, his supervisor, sent claimant a message with contact information for the employer's leave administrator. At that time, claimant did not expect to be absent for an extended period, so he did not contact the leave administrator. Claimant and Holman maintained regular contact with one another through August 9, 2022. On that date, claimant reported that he intended to be back to work that afternoon. Holman testified that claimant did not report for work that day. On August 9, 2022,

the employer sent claimant a letter inquiring about his employment status. It asked that claimant contact the employer and/or the leave administrator by August 15, 2022, or he would be considered voluntarily separated from employment. Claimant asserts he worked all or part of both August 10 and 11, 2022. On August 12, 2022, he was hospitalized with a serious illness that rendered him unable to communicate. Because he was unable to communicate, he did not contact the employer to let anyone know he would be absent. On August 14, 2022, claimant's girlfriend contacted Holman by both text message and phone call to report that claimant was hospitalized. Because claimant's girlfriend was not listed as an emergency contact with the employer, Holman could not speak with the girlfriend. On August 15, 2022, Holman and claimant spoke. At that time, Holman informed claimant of the separation from employment.

Claimant had received coaching regarding attendance and maintaining contact with the employer regarding his attendance on July 19, 2022. This was not considered a disciplinary warning, but the employer did speak with claimant regarding the fact that failure to maintain contact with the employer might be interpreted as job abandonment.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant did not quit but was discharged from employment for no disqualifying reason.

lowa Code section 96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

lowa unemployment insurance law disqualifies claimants who voluntarily quit employment without good cause attributable to the employer or who are discharged for work-connected misconduct. lowa Code §§ 96.5(1) and 96.5(2)a. The burden of proof rests with the employer to show that the claimant voluntarily left the employment. *Irving v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 15-0104, 2016 WL 3125854, (lowa June 3, 2016). A voluntary quitting of employment requires that an employee exercise a voluntary choice between remaining employed or terminating the employment relationship. *Wills v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 447 N.W.2d 137, 138 (lowa 1989); *Peck v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 492 N.W.2d 438, 440 (lowa Ct. App. 1992). It requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. *Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer*, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (lowa 1980). Where there is no expressed intention or act to sever the relationship, the case must be analyzed as a discharge from employment. *Peck v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 492 N.W.2d 438 (lowa Ct. App. 1992).

Claimant demonstrated that he did not intend to resign his employment or abandon his job by maintaining some contact with the employer in the time leading up to his separation. His girlfriend contacted his employer in his stead when he was unable the day before he was informed of the separation. Claimant would not have maintained any contact with the employer had he not intended to maintain the employment relationship. While it might have been ideal for claimant or a proxy to reach out to the leave administrator prior to the separation, claimant has provided an excuse—extreme illness and incapacity—why he failed to do so. The separation is a discharge.

The employer has the burden of proof in establishing disqualifying job misconduct. Cosper v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 321 N.W.2d 6 (Iowa 1982). The issue is not whether the employer

made a correct decision in separating claimant, but whether the claimant is entitled to unemployment insurance benefits. *Infante v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 364 N.W.2d 262 (Iowa Ct. App. 1984). What constitutes misconduct justifying termination of an employee and what misconduct warrants denial of unemployment insurance benefits are two separate decisions. *Pierce v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 425 N.W.2d 679 (Iowa Ct. App. 1988). Misconduct serious enough to warrant discharge is not necessarily serious enough to warrant a denial of job insurance benefits. Such misconduct must be "substantial." *Newman v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 351 N.W.2d 806 (Iowa Ct. App. 1984).

An employer may discharge an employee for any number of reasons or no reason at all if it is not contrary to public policy, but if it fails to meet its burden of proof to establish job related misconduct as the reason for the separation, employer incurs potential liability for unemployment insurance benefits related to that separation. Claimant has demonstrated that he did not intend to disregard the employer's requests regarding maintaining contact about his attendance. However, he was very ill at the end of his employment, and credibly testified that he could not comply with the employer's requests in the way that he wanted to. Accordingly, the last incident the resulted in claimant's separation from employment was not volitional on claimant's part and does not constitute disqualifying misconduct. Benefits are allowed, provided claimant is otherwise eligible.

DECISION:

The September 22, 2022, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is REVERSED. Claimant did not quit but was discharged from employment for no disqualifying reason. Benefits are allowed, provided he is otherwise eligible.

REMAND:

For the reasons enumerated in the findings of fact above, the issue of claimant's ability to and availability for work is remanded to the Benefits Bureau of lowa Workforce Development for an initial investigation and determination.

Alexis D. Rowe Administrative Law Judge

Au DR

October 31, 2022

Decision Dated and Mailed

ar/mh

APPEAL RIGHTS. If you disagree with the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

Employment Appeal Board 4th Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191 Online: eab.iowa.gov

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

- 1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.
- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at low a Code §17A.19, w hich is online at https://w w w .legis.iow a.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf Or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court_https://w w w .iow acourts.gov/iow a-courts/court-directory/.

Note to Parties: YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

Note to Claimant: It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

SERVICE INFORMATION:

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN. Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

Employment Appeal Board 4th Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191 En línea: eab.iowa.gov

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

- 1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.
- 2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
- 3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.
- 4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de low a §17A.19, que se encuentra en línea en https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf o comunicándose con el Tribunal de Distrito Secretario del tribunal https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Nota para las partes: USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

Nota para el reclamante: es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.