## IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

THERESE M REINHOLDT Claimant

# APPEAL 17A-UI-09000-DL-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

EXPRESS SERVICES INC

Employer

OC: 07/30/17 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1)j – Voluntary Quitting – Temporary Employment

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the August 29, 2017, (reference 07) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based upon voluntarily quitting the employment. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on September 21, 2017. Claimant participated. Employer did not respond to the hearing notice instruction by registering for the hearing and did not participate. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative record, including fact-finding documents.

#### **ISSUE:**

Did claimant quit by not reporting for additional work assignments within three business days of the end of the last assignment?

### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed as a temporary full-time recruiter (\$16.00 per hour) assigned at Omega through August 3, 2017. Kyle from Omega told her the assignment had ended. She called Sabrina at Express Services on August 4 to see if there was another assignment for her but Sabrina did not know. Sabrina called her back on August 7 and said she had a temp-to-hire manufacturing assignment at \$10.75 working full-time as a general laborer on first shift at Bossard to begin on August 8. Claimant accepted the assignment and worked one day before telling Chad of Bossard that she would not return because she did not think she could do repetitive lifting of 35 pounds. Claimant did not contact Express Services after that because she wanted to look for "a more suitable job" and did not think they would have such a job for her. Nor did she want a temporary job.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant's separation from the employment was without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25 provides, in pertinent part:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving Iowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(3) The claimant left to seek other employment but did not secure employment.

(21) The claimant left because of dissatisfaction with the work environment.

(27) The claimant left rather than perform the assigned work as instructed.

(33) The claimant left because such claimant felt that the job performance was not to the satisfaction of the employer; provided, the employer had not requested the claimant to leave and continued work was available.

While the employer has the burden to establish the separation was a voluntary quitting of employment rather than a discharge, claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.6(2). "Good cause" for leaving employment must be that which is reasonable to the average person, not the overly sensitive individual or the claimant in particular. *Uniweld Products v. Indus. Relations Comm'n*, 277 So.2d 827 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1973).

Although she reported for further assignment after the August 3 separation from the Omega assignment, she did accept the assignment at Bossard and worked on August 8. Her separation the same day is the subject of this decision. The claimant's choice to quit because she did not believe she could perform the job duties and because she wanted a permanent job were not for a good cause reason attributable to the employer. While claimant's leaving the employment may have been based upon good personal reasons, it was not for a good-cause reason attributable to lowa law. Benefits must be denied.

## **DECISION:**

The August 29, 2017, (reference 07) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. Claimant voluntarily left the employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as she has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible.

Dévon M. Lewis Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

dml/rvs