IOWA DEPARTMENT OF INSPECTIONS & APPEALS DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

Lucas State Office Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319

DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

MARYLOU T SYSOL 850 FIRST AVENUE #19 CLINTON IA 52732

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT INVESTIGATION AND RECOVERY 1000 EAST GRAND AVENUE DES MOINES IA 50319-0209

DAN ANDERSON, IWD

Appeal Number: OC: 09/23/07

Claimant: Appellant (1)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.

08-IWDUI-005

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

- The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to the Department . If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)

January 29, 2008

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.16-4 - Misrepresentation Section 96.3-7 - Recovery of Overpayments

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from an Iowa Workforce Development decision dated December 24, 2008, reference 03, which held that the claimant was overpaid unemployment benefits in the amount of \$599.00, because she failed to report wages earned with Employer's Service Bureau for the three-week period ending November 10, 2007.

After due notice was issued, a hearing was scheduled for a telephone conference call on January 24, 2008. The claimant did not participate. Tom Carnahan, Investigator, participated for Iowa Workforce Development, Investigation and Recovery. Department Exhibits One, Two and Three

were received as evidence.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony of the witness, and having examined all of the evidence in the record, finds: The claimant filed a claim for unemployment benefits with an effective date of September 23, 2007. The claimant claimed for and received unemployment benefits in late October, and early November 2007.

Employer's Service Bureau reported to the department that the claimant began employment on October 22, 2007, and it reported the gross earnings paid to her for the three weeks ending November 10, 2007. The department compared the employer's wage report against the claimant's claims for the same weeks.

The employer reported for the three-weeks, as follows: week ending October 27 - 45 hours, gross wages \$389.50; week ending November 3 - 52.5 hours, \$481.75; week ending November 10 - 25 hours, \$205. The claimant reported no work and wages for these weeks, and she received a benefit of \$225 each week. Based on the employer's wage report, the claimant was not entitled to any benefit for the two-weeks ending November 3, and a reduced benefit of \$76 for the week ending November 10 that caused a total overpayment of \$599.00.

Investigator Carnahan mailed an audit notice and documentation to the claimant on November 21, 2007 regarding the \$599 overpayment. When the claimant failed to respond, the department issued the decision. Since the claimant failed to report her work and wages, the department concluded she misrepresented her claim(s).

The claimant failed to respond to the hearing notice.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue is whether the claimant is overpaid benefits \$599, and if so whether it is the result of misrepresentation.

Iowa Code Section 96.16-4 provides:

4. Misrepresentation. An individual who, by reason of the nondisclosure or misrepresentation by the individual or by another of a material fact, has received any sum as benefits under this chapter while any conditions for the receipt of benefits imposed by this chapter were not fulfilled in the individual's case, or while the individual was disqualified from receiving benefits, shall, in the discretion of the department, either be liable to have the sum deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual under this chapter or shall be liable to repay to the department for the unemployment compensation fund, a sum equal to the amount so received by the individual. If the department seeks to recover the amount of the benefits by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to that amount, the department may file a lien with the county recorder in favor of the state on the individual's property and rights to property, whether real or personal. The amount of the lien shall be collected in a manner similar to the provisions for the collection of past-due contributions in section 96.14, subsection 3.

Iowa Code Section 96.3-7 provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The division of job service in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the division a sum equal to the overpayment.

If the division determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

The administrative law judge concludes that the claimant is overpaid benefits \$599 for the 3-week period ending November 10, 2007 pursuant to lowa Code section 96.16-4. The claimant's employer provided reliable evidence to the department regarding the claimant's employment and earnings for the three-weeks ending November 10, 2007 that establishes the overpayment. The claimant misrepresented her claim by failing to report her work and wages while claiming for benefits.

DECISION:

The decision of the representative dated December 24, 2007, reference 03, is AFFIRMED. The claimant is overpaid benefits \$599 due to misrepresentation.

rls