IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

CANDICE CURTIS 15676 G AVE RANDALIA IA 52164

MOORE PETROLEUM INC GAS N GOODS HWY 150 WEST PO BOX 208 MAYNARD IA 50655 Appeal Number: NUNC PRO TUNC 04A-UI-00991-ET

OC 12-21-03 R 04 Claimant: Respondent (2)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the *Employment Appeal Board*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

### STATE CLEARLY

- The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- 2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)	
(Decision Dated & Mailed)	

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Leaving Section 96.3-7 – Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from a decision dated January 20, 2004, reference 01, that allowed benefits to the claimant. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call before Administrative Law Judge Julie Elder on February 19, 2004. The claimant participated in the hearing with witness Mike Kout. Jean Cue, Manager and Carol Inman, Clerk, participated in the hearing on behalf of the employer.

# FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having heard the testimony and examined the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed as a part-time clerk for Moore Petroleum from July 8, 2002 to November 1, 2003. On November 1, 2003, the claimant left a message for Manager Jean Cue at 4:45 a.m. stating her car had been stolen. Ms. Cue opened for the claimant and when the claimant called the store a short time later, Ms. Cue asked, "Where the hell are you." The parties argued back and forth and hung up on each other a few times. Ms. Cue told the claimant she did not have time to discuss the situation at that time but the claimant needed to think of her job as a responsibility and the claimant said, "Fine, I will just quit."

The claimant has received unemployment insurance benefits since her separation from this employer.

# REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left her employment without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code Section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

The claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code Section 96.6-2. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. 871 IAC 24.25. Leaving because of unlawful, intolerable, or detrimental working conditions would be good cause. 871 IAC 24.26(3), (4). Leaving because of dissatisfaction with the work environment is not good cause. 871 IAC 24.25(1). While Ms. Cue might have been more sensitive to the claimant's situation November 1, 2003, her frustration at having to go in to open the store is understandable and neither party behaved particularly well. They argued and hung up on each other and although Ms. Cue told the claimant she did not have time to talk about the situation, the claimant continued to call Ms. Cue and eventually stated she guit in response to Ms. Cue's statement that she needed to think of her job as a responsibility. The administrative law judge does not find that statement to be such that a reasonable person would find it necessary to guit and consequently concludes the claimant has not provided sufficient evidence to determine that the employer's work environment was detrimental or intolerable. Benefits are denied.

Iowa Code Section 96.3-7 provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal

Page 3 NUNC PRO TUNC Appeal No. 04A-UI-00991-ET

to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

Because the claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to which the claimant was not entitled. Those benefits must be recovered in accordance with the provisions of lowalaw.

# **DECISION:**

The January 20, 2004, reference 01, decision is reversed. The claimant voluntarily left her employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as she has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible. The claimant is overpaid benefits in the amount of \$944.00.

je/kjf/b