IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

	68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI
CHRISTINE M SHAFFER Claimant	APPEAL NO: 14A-UI-04040-DWT
	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION
ALLSTEEL INC Employer	
	00. 03/16/14

06: 03/16/14 Claimant: Respondent (1)

Iowa Code § 96.6(3) - Previously Adjudicated

PROCEDURAL STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer appealed a representative's April 7, 2014 determination (reference 01) that held the claimant's February 19, 2014 employment separation had been previously adjudicated and the claimant was gualified to receive benefits. The claimant participated at the May 7 hearing. Sandra Linsin, a representative with Employers Edge, appeared on the employer's behalf. Emily Bennette testified on the employer's behalf. This appeal was consolidated with appeal 14A-UI-03219-DWT, which addressed the reasons for the claimant's February 19, 2014 employment separation. During the hearing, Employer Exhibits One and Two were offered and admitted as evidence. Based on the evidence, the arguments of the parties, and the law, the administrative law judge concludes this matter was adjudicated in the decision for appeal 14A-UI-03219-DWT. The claimant is qualified to receive benefits.

ISSUE:

Has the claimant's February 19, 2014 employment separation been adjudicated in an earlier appeal?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The claimant established a new benefit year during the week of March 16, 2014. The claimant had previously filed an additional claim during the week of February 23, 2014, when her employment ended on February 19, 2014. When the claimant filed her additional claim, she had a claim year previously established during the week of March 17, 2013.

The decision for appeal 14A-UI-03219-DWT, addressed the reasons for the claimant's employment separation. The Finding of Fact, and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law from appeal 14A-UI-03219-DWT are incorporated in this appeal.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

See the Reasoning and Conclusions of Law for appeal 14A-UI-03219-DWT for this decision.

Since the claimant established a new benefit year during the week of March 16, 2014, the employer is a base period employer during this claim year.

DECISION:

The representative's April 7, 2014 determination (reference 01) is affirmed. The reasons for the claimant's February 19, 2014 employment separation were addressed in the decision for appeal 14A-UI-03219-DWT. As a result, the claimant remains qualified to receive benefits as of March 16, 2014, provided she meets all other eligibility requirements. During this benefit year, the employer's account is subject to charge.

Debra L. Wise Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

dlw/css