IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 **DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE**

68-0157 (7-97) - 3091078 - EI

LARRY E BARTHOLOMEW 22577 MAPLE AVE **KEOSAUQUA IA 52565**

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT **Appeal Number:** 05A-UI-02279-LT

R: 03 OC: 01-30-05 Claimant: Appellant (2)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor-Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

- The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)
(Decision Dated & Mailed)

871 IAC 24.2(1)e – Failure to Report

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed a timely appeal from the March 4, 2005, reference 02, decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on March 21, 2005. Claimant did participate.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: A notice was mailed to the claimant on February 23, 2005. The claimant did not receive the notice until March 5 and did respond by March 7, 2005 but the fact-finder (Lou) did not return claimant's messages. Claimant pushed a button on the automated response system in error for the week ending February 12, 2005. He has made at least two in-person work searches per week during

his claim and last filed a weekly claim on March 20, 2005. Claimant anticipates being recalled to work at the same location with a different owner within a month.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant has established a good cause reason for having failed to report as directed.

871 IAC 24.2(1)e provides:

e. In order to maintain continuing eligibility for benefits during any continuous period of unemployment, an individual shall report as directed to do so by an authorized representative of the department. If the individual has moved to another locality, the individual may register and report in person at a workforce development center at the time previously specified for the reporting.

The method of reporting shall be weekly if a voice response continued claim is filed, unless otherwise directed by an authorized representative of the department. An individual who files a voice response continued claim will have the benefit payment automatically deposited weekly in the individual's financial institution's account or be paid by the mailing of a warrant on a biweekly basis.

In order for an individual to receive payment by direct deposit, the individual must provide the department with the appropriate bank routing code number and a checking or savings account number.

The department retains the ultimate authority to choose the method of reporting and payment.

871 IAC 24.6 provides:

Profiling for reemployment services.

- (1) The department of workforce development and the department of economic development will jointly provide a program which consists of profiling claimants and providing reemployment services.
- (2) Profiling is a systematic procedure used to identify claimants who, because of certain characteristics, are determined to be permanently separated and most likely to exhaust benefits. Such claimants may be referred to reemployment services.
- (3) Reemployment services may include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - a. An assessment of the claimant's aptitude, work history and interest.
 - b. Employment counseling regarding reemployment approaches and plans.
 - c. Job search assistance and job placement services.
 - d. Labor market information.

- e. Job search workshops or job clubs and referrals to employers.
- f. Résumé preparation.
- g. Other similar services.
- (4) As part of the initial intake procedure, each claimant shall be required to provide the information necessary for profiling and evaluation of the likelihood of needing reemployment assistance.
- (5) The referral of a claimant and the provision of reemployment services is subject to the availability of funding and limitations of the size of the classes.
- (6) A claimant shall participate in reemployment services when referred by the department unless the claimant establishes justifiable cause for failure to participate or the claimant has previously completed such training or services. Failure by the claimant to participate without justifiable cause shall disqualify the claimant from the receipt of benefits until the claimant participates in the reemployment services.
 - a. Justifiable cause for failure to participate is an important and significant reason which a reasonable person would consider adequate justification in view of the paramount importance of reemployment to the claimant.
 - b. Reserved.

This rule is intended to implement Iowa Code section 96.4(7).

Claimant's late receipt of the notice and his response on the next business day after receipt is a good-cause reason for failure to report on the appointed day. Benefits are allowed.

DECISION:

The March 4, 2005, reference 02, decision is reversed. The claimant has provided good cause reasons for having failed to report as directed. Benefits are allowed effective February 27, 2005.

dml/s