### IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

	00-0137 (9-00) - 3091078 - El
LISA JONES	APPEAL NO: 09A-UI-09292-BT
Claimant	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION
MERCY HEALTH SERVICES - IOWA CORP Employer	

OC: 05/10/09 Claimant: Appellant (1/R)

69 01F7 (0 06) 2001079 EL

Iowa Code § 96.5-1 - Voluntary Quit 871 IAC 24.27 - Voluntary Quit of Part-Time Employment

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Lisa Jones (claimant) appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated June 24, 2009, reference 03, which held that she was not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits because she voluntarily quit her employment with Mercy Health Services - Iowa Corporation (employer) without good cause attributable to the employer. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on July 15, 2009. The claimant participated in the hearing. The employer participated through Glenna O'Connor, Employment Specialist. Based on the evidence, the arguments of the parties, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

# **ISSUE:**

The issue is whether the claimant's voluntary separation from employment qualifies her to receive unemployment insurance benefits.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired as a full-time linen room laundry worker on May 21, 2008. She was allowed to reduce her hours to part-time on August 20, 2008 due to problems with her pregnancy. The claimant's treating physician took her off work completely on October 18, 2008. The claimant delivered her baby on November 15, 2008 and was scheduled to return to work on January 5, 2009. She was seen by her physician on that date but her physician did not provide her with a work release. Likewise, the claimant's physician did not advise her she could not return to work but helped her obtain outpatient mental health treatment for depression.

After January 5, 2009, the employer called the claimant and left several messages asking her about returning to work. The claimant called the employer on January 8, 2009 and left a voice mail message stating that she did not plan to return to work because she had to get her life in

order. The employer wrote the claimant a letter on January 9, 2009 indicating that she was no longer employed per her request but the claimant testified she never received the letter.

### REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue is whether the reasons for the claimant's separation from employment qualify her to receive unemployment insurance benefits.

Iowa Code § 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.27 provides:

Voluntary quit of part-time employment and requalification. An individual who voluntarily quits without good cause part-time employment and has not requalified for benefits following the voluntary quit of part-time employment, yet is otherwise monetarily eligible for benefits based on wages paid by the regular or other base period employers, shall not be disqualified for voluntarily quitting the part-time employment. The individual and the part-time employer which was voluntarily quit shall be notified on the Form 65-5323 or 60-0186, Unemployment Insurance Decision, that benefit payments shall not be made which are based on the wages paid by the part-time employer and benefit charges shall not be assessed against the part-time employer's account; however, once the individual has met the requalification requirements following the voluntary quit without good cause of the part-time employer, the wages paid in the part-time employment shall be available for benefit payment purposes. For benefit charging purposes and as determined by the applicable requalification requirements, the wages paid by the part-time employer shall be transferred to the balancing account.

The claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary quit was for a good reason that would not disqualify her. Iowa Code § 96.6-2. She testified she quit working due to a non-work-related medical condition but her physician did not advise her she could not work. A voluntary quit based on illness is clearly disqualifying except upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician. <u>Taylor v. Iowa Department of Job Service</u>, 362 N.W.2d 534 (Iowa 1985). The claimant's voluntary separation from employment is disqualifying.

However, an individual who quits part-time employment without good cause, yet is otherwise monetarily eligible based on wages paid by other base-period employers, shall not be disqualified for voluntarily quitting the part-time employment. Benefit payments shall not be based on wages paid by the part-time employer and charges shall not be assessed against the part-time employer's account. Once the individual has met the requalification requirements, the wages paid from the part-time employment can be used for benefit payment purposes. 871 IAC 24.27.

Based on this regulation, this matter is remanded to the Claims Section to determine whether the claimant is monetarily eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits when the wage credits the claimant earned while working for the employer are not used in determining the claimant's monetary eligibility or her maximum weekly benefit amount.

# **DECISION:**

The unemployment insurance decision dated June 24, 2009, reference 03, is affirmed. The claimant voluntarily quit her part-time employment for disqualifying reasons. Therefore, the employer's account will not be charged. This matter is remanded to the Claims Section to determine whether the claimant is monetarily eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits and to determine what her maximum weekly benefit amount is when the wage credits the claimant earned from the employer are not taken into consideration to determine these two issues.

Susan D. Ackerman Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

sda/pjs