

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU**

NICOLE CONNELL
Claimant

**JENNIE EDMUNDSON MEMORIAL
HOSPITAL**
Employer

**APPEAL 21A-UI-14844-AD-T
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

**OC: 01/10/21
Claimant: Respondent (2R)**

Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timeliness of Protest

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On June 29, 2021, Jennie Edmundson Memorial Hospital (employer/appellant) filed an appeal from the June 24, 2021 (reference 05) unemployment insurance decision that allowed benefits based on a finding employer's protest untimely.

A telephone hearing was held on August 24, 2020. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. Nicole Connell (claimant/respondent) did not register a number for the hearing or participate. Employer participated by HR Assistant Kathy Heuwinkel.

Employer's Exhibit 1 was admitted. Official notice was taken of the administrative record.

ISSUE:

Whether employer filed a timely protest.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds:

The Notice of Claim was mailed to 825 S 169th St, Omaha, NE 68118 on January 13, 2021. That is employer's corporate office. The notice of claim contains a warning that the employer protest response is due ten days from the initial notice date and gave a response deadline of January 25, 2021. The employer completed and submitted the Employer Statement of Protest via fax on January 25, 2021. See Employer's Exhibit 1.

The claimant's separation from employment has not yet been the subject of a Benefits Bureau fact-finding interview.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes that employer's protest was timely. The June 24, 2021 (reference 05) unemployment insurance decision that allowed

benefits based on a finding employer's protest untimely is REVERSED and REMANDED to the Department for a fact-finding interview and decision.

Iowa Code § 96.6(2) provides:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(1)(a) provides:

1. Except as otherwise provided by statute or by division rule, any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document submitted to the division shall be considered received by and filed with the division:

(a) If transmitted via the United States Postal Service on the date it is mailed as shown by the postmark, or in the absence of a postmark the postage meter mark on the envelope in which it is received; or if not postmarked or postage meter marked or if the mark is illegible, on the date entered on the document as the date of completion.

(b)

(c) If transmitted by any means other than [United States Postal Service or the State Identification Data Exchange System (SIDES)], on the date it is received by the division.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2) provides:

2. The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.

There is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and the Administrative Law Judge has no authority to change the decision of representative if a timely appeal is not filed. *Franklin v. Iowa Dept. Job Service*, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). The ten-day period for appealing an initial determination concerning a claim for benefits has been described as jurisdictional. *Messina v. Iowa Dept. of Job Service*, 341 N.W.2d 52, 55 (Iowa 1983); *Beardslee v. Iowa Dept. Job Service*, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979). The only basis for changing the ten-day period would be where notice to the appealing party was constitutionally invalid. *E.g. Beardslee v. Iowa Dept. Job Service*, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (Iowa 1979). The question in such cases becomes whether the appellant was deprived of a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal in a timely fashion. *Hendren v. Iowa Employment Sec. Commission*, 217 N.W.2d 255 (Iowa 1974); *Smith v. Iowa Employment Sec. Commission*, 212 N.W.2d 471 (Iowa 1973). The question of whether the Claimant has been denied a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal is also informed by rule 871-24.35(2) which states that "the submission of any ...appeal...not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service."

Employer submitted the Statement of Protest via fax on January 25, 2021, the deadline for doing so. The submission was therefore timely.

DECISION:

The administrative law judge concludes that employer's protest was timely. The June 24, 2021 (reference 05) unemployment insurance decision that allowed benefits based on a finding employer's protest untimely is REVERSED and REMANDED to the Department for a fact-finding interview and decision.



Andrew B. Duffelmeyer
Administrative Law Judge
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau
1000 East Grand Avenue
Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209
Fax (515) 478-3528

August 26, 2021
Decision Dated and Mailed

abd/ol