

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU**

**RODNEY A VOGEL**  
Claimant

**IPSCO TUBULARS INC**  
Employer

**APPEAL 19A-UI-06775-AW-T  
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
DECISION**

**OC: 08/18/19  
Claimant: Appellant (1)**

Iowa Code § 96.3(5) – Benefit Duration - Business Closing  
Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.29(1) and (2) – Business Closing

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE:**

Claimant filed a timely appeal from the August 22, 2019 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied the request to have his unemployment insurance claim redetermined as a business closure. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on September 17, 2019 at 10:00 a.m. Claimant participated. Employer participated through Kathy Borkgren, Human Resources Manager. No exhibits were admitted.

**ISSUE:**

Whether claimant is eligible to have the monetary determination recalculated due to business closing.

**FINDINGS OF FACT:**

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed by IPSCO Tubulars, Inc. from June 1, 2017 until his employment ended on August 19, 2019, when he was laid off due to lack of work. (Claimant Testimony; Borkgren Testimony) Claimant worked at TMK IPSCO located at 2011 7th Avenue, Camanche, Iowa. (Borkgren Testimony) Employer is still operating its business at that address. (Claimant Testimony; Borkgren Testimony) When claimant filed his original claim for benefits, he mistakenly checked the box for business closure. (Claimant Testimony)

**REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes that the claimant was laid off due to lack of work; however, the business is still operating at the location where he worked. Therefore, claimant is not eligible to have the monetary determination recalculated due to business closing.



Iowa Code § 96.3(5)a provides:

a. Duration of benefits. The maximum total amount of benefits payable to an eligible individual during a benefit year shall not exceed the total of the wage credits accrued to the individual's account during the individual's base period, or twenty-six times the individual's weekly benefit amount, whichever is the lesser. The director shall maintain a separate account for each individual who earns wages in insured work. The director shall compute wage credits for each individual by crediting the individual's account with one-third of the wages for insured work paid to the individual during the individual's base period. However, the director shall recompute wage credits for an individual who is laid off due to the individual's employer going out of business at the factory, establishment, or other premises at which the individual was last employed, by crediting the individual's account with one-half, instead of one-third, of the wages for insured work paid to the individual during the individual's base period. Benefits paid to an eligible individual shall be charged against the base period wage credits in the individual's account which have not been previously charged, in the inverse chronological order as the wages on which the wage credits are based were paid. However if the state "off" indicator is in effect and if the individual is laid off due to the individual's employer going out of business at the factory, establishment, or other premises at which the individual was last employed, the maximum benefits payable shall be extended to thirty-nine times the individual's weekly benefit amount, but not to exceed the total of the wage credits accrued to the individual's account.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.29(1) and (2) provide:

Business closing.

(1) Whenever an employer at a factory, establishment, or other premises goes out of business at which the individual was last employed and is laid off, the individual's account is credited with one-half, instead of one-third, of the wages for insured work paid to the individual during the individual's base period, which may increase the maximum benefit amount up to 39 times the weekly benefit amount or one-half of the total base period wages, whichever is less. This rule also applies retroactively for monetary redetermination purposes during the current benefit year of the individual who is temporarily laid off with the expectation of returning to work once the temporary or seasonal factors have been eliminated and is prevented from returning to work because of the going out of business of the employer within the same benefit year of the individual. This rule also applies to an individual who works in temporary employment between the layoff from the business closing employer and the Claim for Benefits. For the purposes of this rule, temporary employment means employment of a duration not to exceed four weeks.

(2) Going out of business means any factory, establishment, or other premises of an employer which closes its door and ceases to function as a business; however, an employer is not considered to have gone out of business at the factory, establishment, or other premises in any case in which the employer sells or otherwise transfers the business to another employer, and the successor employer continues to operate the business.

There is still a business operating at claimant's place of employment. There is no business closure. Therefore, while claimant remains qualified for benefits based upon a layoff from this employer, he is not entitled to a recalculation of benefits.



**DECISION:**

The August 22, 2019 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. There is no business closure. Recalculation of benefits is denied. If the entire business closes and ceases all operation at that location at some future date, claimant may reapply for recalculation.

---

Adrienne C. Williamson  
Administrative Law Judge  
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau  
Iowa Workforce Development  
1000 East Grand Avenue  
Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209  
Fax (515)478-3528

---

Decision Dated and Mailed

acw/rvs