

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

ASHESH A PARIKH
Claimant

APPEAL NO. 14A-UI-02494-ST

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

**NEW LIFE HOSPITALITY INC
RAMADA LTD SUITES**
Employer

**OC: 09/22/13
Claimant: Respondent (6)**

Section 17A.12(3) – Default Decision
871 IAC 26.14(7) – Dismissal of Appeal/Default

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer/appellant filed an appeal from a department decision dated February 21, 2014, reference 01, that held claimant's request for business closing benefits are denied. A hearing was scheduled for March 27, 2014 with due notice to the parties.

A review of the Appeals Bureau's conference call system indicates employer/appellant failed to respond to the hearing notice and provide a telephone number at which it could be reached for the hearing and did not participate. Based upon the employer/appellant's failure to participate in the hearing and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law and decision.

ISSUE:

Should the appeal be dismissed based upon the employer/appellant not participating in the hearing?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The parties were properly notified of the scheduled hearing on this appeal. The employer/appellant failed to provide a telephone number at which it could be reached for the hearing and did not participate in the hearing or request a postponement of the hearing as required by the hearing notice.

The representative's decision had concluded that the employee/claimant was not eligible for business closing unemployment insurance benefits.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The Iowa Administrative Procedures Act at Iowa Code § 17A.12(3) provides in pertinent part:

If a party fails to appear or participate in a contested case proceeding after proper service of notice, the presiding officer may, if no adjournment is granted, enter a default

decision or proceed with the hearing and make a decision in the absence of the party. ... If a decision is rendered against a party who failed to appear for the hearing and the presiding officer is timely requested by that party to vacate the decision for good cause, the time for initiating a further appeal is stayed pending a determination by the presiding officer to grant or deny the request. If adequate reasons are provided showing good cause for the party's failure to appear, the presiding officer shall vacate the decision and, after proper service of notice, conduct another evidentiary hearing. If adequate reasons are not provided showing good cause for the party's failure to appear, the presiding officer shall deny the motion to vacate.

The Agency rules at Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-26.14(7) provide:

If a party has not responded to a notice of telephone hearing by providing the appeals bureau with the names and telephone numbers of the persons who are participating in the hearing by the scheduled starting time of the hearing or is not available at the telephone number provided, the presiding officer may proceed with the hearing. If the appealing party fails to provide a telephone number or is unavailable for the hearing, the presiding officer may decide the appealing party is in default and dismiss the appeal as provided in Iowa Code section 17A.12(3). The record may be reopened if the absent party makes a request to reopen the hearing under subrule 26.8(3) and shows good cause for reopening the hearing.

a. If an absent party responds to the hearing notice while the hearing is in progress, the presiding officer shall pause to admit the party, summarize the hearing to that point, administer the oath, and resume the hearing.

b. If a party responds to the notice of hearing after the record has been closed and any party which has participated is no longer on the telephone line, the presiding officer shall not take the evidence of the late party. Instead, the presiding officer shall inquire ex parte as to why the party was late in responding to the notice of hearing. For good cause shown, the presiding officer shall reopen the record and cause further notice of hearing to be issued to all parties of record. The record shall not be reopened if the presiding officer does not find good cause for the party's late response to the notice of hearing.

c. Failure to read or follow the instructions on the notice of hearing shall not constitute good cause for reopening the record.

The employer/appellant appealed the representative's decision but failed to participate in the hearing. The employer respondent has therefore defaulted on its appeal pursuant to Iowa Code §17A.12(3) and Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.14(7), and the representative's decision remains in force and effect.

It appears the employer submitted an appeal letter to ensure its former employees were entitled to regular unemployment benefits as the result of a lay-off due to the business sale. It does not appear the employer is contesting the denial of business closing benefits.

If the employer disagrees with this decision, pursuant to the rule, it must make a written request to the administrative law judge that the hearing be reopened within 15 days after the mailing date of this decision. The written request should be mailed to the administrative law judge at

the address listed above in this decision and must explain the emergency or other good cause that prevented the appellant from participating in the hearing at its scheduled time. The employer also has the option to appeal the decision directly to the Employment Appeal Board whose address is listed at the beginning of this decision.

DECISION:

The decision of the representative dated February 21, 2014, reference 01, is affirmed. The decision of the representative denying business closing benefits shall stand and remain in full force and effect.

Randy L. Stephenson
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

rls/pjs