IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

	68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - El
GRANT C CASHMAN Claimant	APPEAL NO. 07A-UI-06545-LT
	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION
L A LEASING INC SEDONA STAFFING Employer	
	OC: 11/19/06 R: 04 Claimant: Respondent (2)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1)j – Voluntary Leaving – Temporary Employment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the June 28, 2007, reference 04, decision that allowed benefits. After due notice was issued, a telephone conference hearing was held on July 18, 2007. Claimant participated. Employer participated through Colleen McGuinty and Kari Cannon.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether claimant quit the employment without good cause attributable to the employer.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having heard the testimony and having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed in a temporary assignment until February 12, 2007 when he was discharged from the assignment only because of failure to report or call on February 5 and 11, 2007. Employer is listed in the phone book and has an answering machine. He was also issued an identification badge with the Sedona phone number, assignment phone number, and security phone number for access only. He was allowed to return to work after the first no-call/no-show on February 5th so Cannon thinks she did talk to him about calling in. Cannon left him a message on February 12 that he was not to report to the assignment and he understood that he was not fired from Sedona but waited "a week or two" before calling in for another assignment. His next contact with the Sedona office (as opposed to an assignment location supervisor) was on April 30, 2007 when he called or came in seeking work and was made eligible for placement. Claimant recalls signing and receiving various documents upon his hire but did not read them.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left employment without good cause attributable to the employer.

lowa Code § 96.5-1-j provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department, but the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:

j. The individual is a temporary employee of a temporary employment firm who notifies the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment and who seeks reassignment. Failure of the individual to notify the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment within three working days of the completion of each employment assignment under a contract of hire shall be deemed a voluntary quit unless the individual was not advised in writing of the duty to notify the temporary employment firm upon completion of an employment assignment or the individual had good cause for not contacting the temporary employment firm within three working days and notified the firm at the first reasonable opportunity thereafter.

To show that the employee was advised in writing of the notification requirement of this paragraph, the temporary employment firm shall advise the temporary employee by requiring the temporary employee, at the time of employment with the temporary employment firm, to read and sign a document that provides a clear and concise explanation of the notification requirement and the consequences of a failure to notify. The document shall be separate from any contract of employment and a copy of the signed document shall be provided to the temporary employee.

For the purposes of this paragraph:

(1) "Temporary employee" means an individual who is employed by a temporary employment firm to provide services to clients to supplement their work force during absences, seasonal workloads, temporary skill or labor market shortages, and for special assignments and projects.

(2) "Temporary employment firm" means a person engaged in the business of employing temporary employees.

871 IAC 24.26(19) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(19) The claimant was employed on a temporary basis for assignment to spot jobs or casual labor work and fulfilled the contract of hire when each of the jobs was completed. An election not to report for a new assignment to work shall not be construed as a voluntary leaving of employment. The issue of a refusal of an offer of suitable work shall be adjudicated when an offer of work is made by the former employer. The provisions of lowa Code § 96.5(3) and rule 24.24(96) are controlling in the determination of suitability of work. However, this subrule shall not apply to substitute school employees who are subject to the provisions of lowa Code § 96.4(5) which denies benefits that are based on service in an educational institution when the individual declines or refuses to accept a new contract or reasonable assurance of continued employment status. Under this

circumstance, the substitute school employee shall be considered to have voluntarily quit employment.

The purpose of the statute is to provide notice to the temporary agency employer that the claimant is available for work at the conclusion of each temporary assignment so they may be reassigned and continue working. Claimant's testimony about not knowing the call-in procedures at the end of the assignment is not credible. His failure to read the policies does not excuse his claimed ignorance of them. In this case, the claimant gave the employer no notice of his availability for a month and a half after the end of the assignment and, therefore, is considered to have quit the employment, even though claimant may have returned to work for the temporary agency at some later date. Benefits are denied.

DECISION:

The June 28, 2007, reference 04, decision is reversed. The claimant's separation was not attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as the claimant works in and has been paid for wages equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible. Inasmuch as no benefits were paid, no overpayment applies.

Dévon M. Lewis Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

dml/css