IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

THOMAS ETHERIDGE

Claimant

APPEAL NO: 13A-UI-13906-ET

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

DECISION

ADVANCE SERVICES INC

Employer

OC: 11/17/13

Claimant: Respondent (1)

Section 96.5-2-a – Discharge/Misconduct

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the December 16, 2013, reference 01, decision that allowed benefits to the claimant. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call before Administrative Law Judge Julie Elder on January 13, 2014. The claimant participated in the hearing. Michael Payne, Risk Management, participated in the hearing on behalf of the employer. Employer's Exhibits One through Six were admitted into evidence.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the employer discharged the claimant for work-connected misconduct.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed as a full-time general laborer for Advance Services last assigned to Pella Corporation from August 19, 2013 to November 21, 2013. His assignment was ended by the client due to attendance issues.

The claimant was absent due to properly reported illness November 11, 2013 through November 15, 2013. He went to work November 18, 2013, and received a final written warning for attendance. He worked November 19, 2013, but was still ill and called in to report he would not be at work due to illness November 20, 2013, and his employment was terminated for excessive absenteeism as the employer assessed him one point for each day he was absent.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment for no disqualifying reason.

Iowa Code section 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(7) provides:

(7) Excessive unexcused absenteeism. Excessive unexcused absenteeism is an intentional disregard of the duty owed by the claimant to the employer and shall be considered misconduct except for illness or other reasonable grounds for which the employee was absent and that were properly reported to the employer.

Excessive absences are not considered misconduct unless unexcused. Absences due to properly reported illness cannot constitute job misconduct since they are not volitional. Cosper v. lowa Department of Job Service, 321 N.W.2d 6 (lowa 1982). The standard in attendance cases is whether the claimant had an excessive unexcused absenteeism record. (Emphasis added). While the employer's policy may count absences accompanied by doctor's notes as unexcused, for the purposes of unemployment insurance benefits those absences are considered excused.

Under the employer's attendance policy, every absence, even if attributable to properly reported illness, results in one attendance point per day unless accompanied by a doctor's note, and employees are discharged upon reaching six attendance occurrences. The claimant did not have health insurance and could not afford to seek medical treatment for his illness. Because the final absence was related to properly reported illness, no final or current incident of unexcused absenteeism has been established. Therefore, benefits must be allowed.

DECISION:

The December 16, 2013, reference 01, decision is affirmed. The claimant was discharged from employment for no disqualifying reason. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

Julie Elder Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	
je/pjs	