IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

ROSEMARY E TRIMNELL

Claimant

APPEAL 21A-UI-24681-JC-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

A PLUS HOME CARE SERVICES LLC

Employer

OC: 10/10/21

Claimant: Appellant (4R)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.27 – Voluntary Leaving Part-Time Employment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant/appellant, Rosemary E. Trimnell, filed an appeal from the November 4, 2021 (reference 01) lowa Workforce Development ("IWD") unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on January 4, 2022. The claimant participated personally and was represented by Philip Shaka, son. The employer/respondent, A Plus Home Care Services LLC., participated through Rachel Panzi, director of operations. Employer Exhibits 1-4 were admitted. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative records. Based on the evidence, the arguments presented, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

ISSUE:

Did claimant voluntarily quit the part-time employment with good cause attributable to employer?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant began employment with this employer as a part-time caregiver in February 2017 and last performed work on August 20, 2021. Claimant's voluntarily quit the employment due to personal/family reasons.

The administrative record shows that the claimant has not requalified for benefits but has other base period wages.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left the employment without good cause attributable to the employer, has not requalified, but may be otherwise monetarily eligible. lowa unemployment insurance law disqualifies claimants who voluntarily quit employment without good cause attributable to the employer or who are discharged for work-connected misconduct. Iowa Code §§ 96.5(1) and 96.5(2)a. They remain disqualified until such time as they requalify for benefits by working and earning insured wages ten times their weekly benefit amount. *Id*.

lowa unemployment insurance law disqualifies claimants who voluntarily quit employment without good cause attributable to the employer or who are discharged for work-connected misconduct. Iowa Code §§ 96.5(1) and 96.5(2)a. A voluntary quitting of employment requires that an employee exercise a voluntary choice between remaining employed or terminating the employment relationship. *Wills v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 447 N.W.2d 137, 138 (Iowa 1989); *Peck v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 492 N.W.2d 438, 440 (Iowa Ct. App. 1992). A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. *Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer*, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980).

The claimant has the burden of proof to establish she quit with good cause attributable to the employer, according to lowa law. "Good cause" for leaving employment must be that which is reasonable to the average person, not the overly sensitive individual or the claimant in particular. *Uniweld Products v. Industrial Relations Commission*, 277 So.2d 827 (Fla. App. 1973).

Ordinarily, "good cause" is derived from the facts of each case keeping in mind the public policy stated in Iowa Code section 96.2. *O'Brien v. EAB*, 494 N.W.2d 660, 662 (Iowa 1993)(citing *Wiese v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 389 N.W.2d 676, 680 (Iowa 1986)). "The term encompasses real circumstances, adequate excuses that will bear the test of reason, just grounds for the action, and always the element of good faith." *Wiese v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 389 N.W.2d 676, 680 (Iowa 1986) "[C]ommon sense and prudence must be exercised in evaluating all of the circumstances that lead to an employee's quit in order to attribute the cause for the termination." *Id.*

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(23) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(23) The claimant left voluntarily due to family responsibilities or serious family needs.

Claimant in this case quit the employment for personal reasons. Based on the evidence presented, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant may have had good personal reasons to quit but has not established she quit for good cause attributable to the employer according to lowa law.

Iowa Code section 96.5(1)g provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

- 1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:
- g. The individual left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the employer under circumstances which did or would disqualify the individual for benefits, except as provided in paragraph "a" of this subsection but, subsequent to the leaving, the individual worked in and was paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.27 provides:

Voluntary quit of part-time employment and requalification. An individual who voluntarily quits without good cause part-time employment and has not requalified for benefits following the voluntary quit of part-time employment, yet is otherwise monetarily eligible for benefits based on wages paid by the regular or other base period employers, shall not be disqualified for voluntarily quitting the part-time employment. The individual and the part-time employer which was voluntarily quit shall be notified on Form 655323, Unemployment Insurance Decision, that benefit payments shall not be made which are based on the wages paid by the part-time employer and benefit charges shall not be assessed against the part-time employer's account; however, once the individual has met the requalification requirements following the voluntary quit without good cause of the part-time employer, the wages paid in the part-time employment shall be available for benefit payment purposes. For benefit charging purposes and as determined by the applicable requalification requirements, the wages paid by the part-time employer shall be transferred to the balancing account.

This rule is intended to implement lowa Code section 96.5(1)g.

Inasmuch as claimant quit the employment without good cause attributable to the employer, the separation is disqualifying. However, the claimant has not requalified for benefits since the separation and may be otherwise monetarily eligible according to base period wages. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

The account of this employer shall not be charged.

The issue of whether claimant is monetarily eligible based upon other base period wages is remanded to the Benefits Bureau for an initial investigation. The issue of whether claimant has been able and available for work since August 20, 2021 is also remanded to the Benefits Bureau for an investigation.

DECISION:

The November 4, 2021 (reference 01)), decision is modified in favor of the appellant. The claimant voluntarily left the employment without good cause attributable to the employer and has not requalified for benefits but may be otherwise monetarily eligible. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible. The account of this employer shall not be charged.

REMAND:

The issue of whether claimant is monetarily eligible based upon other base period wages is remanded to the Benefits Bureau for an initial investigation. The issue of whether claimant has been able and available for work since August 20, 2021 is also remanded to the Benefits Bureau for an investigation.



Jennifer L. Beckman
Administrative Law Judge
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau
Iowa Workforce Development
1000 East Grand Avenue
Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209
Fax 515-478-3528

February 4, 2022

Decision Dated and Mailed

jlb/kmj